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## Dydd Mawrth, 13eg Mehefin, 2017 at 10.00 am The Council Chamber, County Hall, Rhadyr, Usk NP15 1GA

## AGENDA

BYDD CYFARFOD CYN I AELODAU'R PWYLLGOR 30 COFNODION CYN I'R CYCHWYN Y CYFARFOD

| Eitem ddim | Eitem | Tudalennau |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Nodi penodiad Cadeirydd y Pwyllgor Dethol. |  |
| 2. | Ethol Is-gadeirydd. |  |
| 3. | Ymddiheuriadau am absenoldeb. |  |
| 4. | Datganiadau o Fuddiant |  |
| 5. | Fforwm Agored i'r Cyhoedd. |  |
| 6. | Cadarnhau cofnodion y cyfarfod blaenorol | 1-14 |
| 7. | Cyflwyniad ar gyfer Trosolwg Menter. |  |
| 8. | Menter leuenctid - Rhaglenni Cronfa Strwythurol Ewropeaidd (CSE) <br> - Estyniad - INSPIRE2WORK | 15-28 |
| 9. | Datganiad All-dro 2016/17 Monitro Refeniw a Chyfalaf. | 29-62 |
| 10. | Rhestr camau gweithredu yn deillio o gyfarfod blaenorol. | 63-64 |
| 11. | Blaenraglen Waith Craffu Economi a Datblygu. | 65-66 |
| 12. | Blaenraglen Gwaith y Cabinet a'r Cyngor. | 67-78 |
| 13. | Trafodaeth am amseriadau cyfarfodydd Pwyllgor Dethol Economi a Datblygu yn y dyfodol. |  |

## Paul Matthews

## Prif Weithredwr

## CYNGOR SIR FYNWY

## MAE CYFANSODDIAD Y PWYLLGOR FEL SY'N DILYN:

Cynghorwyr Sir:
P. Pavia
J. Becker
D. Blakebrough
A. Davies
D. Dovey
M. Feakins
R. Roden
B. Strong
A. Watts

## Gwybodaeth Gyhoeddus

## Mynediad i gopïau papur o agendâu ac adroddiadau

Gellir darparu copi o'r agenda hwn ac adroddiadau perthnasol i aelodau'r cyhoedd sy'n mynychu cyfarfod drwy ofyn am gopi gan Gwasanaethau Democrataidd ar 01633644219. Dylid nodi fod yn rhaid i ni dderbyn 24 awr o hysbysiad cyn y cyfarfod er mwyn darparu copi caled o'r agenda hwn i chi.

## Edrych ar y cyfarfod ar-lein

Gellir gweld y cyfarfod ar-lein yn fyw neu'n dilyn y cyfarfod drwy fynd i www.monmouthshire.gov.uk neu drwy ymweld â'n tudalen Youtube drwy chwilio am MonmouthshireCC. Drwy fynd i mewn i'r ystafell gyfarfod, fel aelod o'r cyhoedd neu i gymryd rhan yn y cyfarfod, rydych yn caniatáu i gael eich ffilmio ac i ddefnydd posibly delweddau a'r recordiadau sain hynny gan y Cyngor.

## Y Gymraeg

Mae'r Cyngor yn croesawu cyfraniadau gan aelodau'r cyhoedd drwy gyfrwng y Gymraeg neu'r Saesneg. Gofynnwn gyda dyledus barch i chi roi 5 diwrnod o hysbysiad cyn y cyfarfod os dymunwch siarad yn Gymraeg fel y gallwn ddarparu ar gyfer eich anghenion.

## Nodau a Gwerthoedd Cyngor Sir Fynwy

## Cymunedau Cynaliadwy a Chryf

## Canlyniadau y gweithiwn i'w cyflawni

## Neb yn cael ei adael ar ôl

- Gall pobl hŷn fyw bywyd da
- Pobl â mynediad i dai addas a fforddiadwy
- Pobl â mynediad a symudedd da


## Pobl yn hyderus, galluog ac yn cymryd rhan

- Camddefnyddio alcohol a chyffuriau ddim yn effeithio ar fywydau pobl
- Teuluoedd yn cael eu cefnogi
- Pobl yn teimlo'n ddiogel


## Ein sir yn ffynnu

- Busnes a menter
- Pobl â mynediad i ddysgu ymarferol a hyblyg
- Pobl yn diogelu ac yn cyfoethogi'r amgylchedd


## Ein blaenoriaethau

- Ysgolion
- Diogelu pobl agored i niwed
- Cefnogi busnes a chreu swyddi
- Cynnal gwasanaethau sy'n hygyrch yn lleol


## Ein gwerthoedd

- Bod yn agored: anelwn fod yn agored ac onest i ddatblygu perthnasoedd ymddiriedus
- Tegwch: anelwn ddarparu dewis teg, cyfleoedd a phrofiadau a dod yn sefydliad a adeiladwyd ar barch un at y llall.
- Hyblygrwydd: anelwn fod yn hyblyg yn ein syniadau a'n gweithredoedd i ddod yn sefydliad effeithlon ac effeithiol.
- Gwaith tîm: anelwn gydweithio i rannu ein llwyddiannau a'n methiannau drwy adeiladu ar ein cryfderau a chefnogi ein gilydd i gyflawni ein nodau.
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Minutes of the meeting of Economy and Development Select Committee held at County Hall, The Rhadyr, Usk, NP15 1GA on Thursday, 6th April, 2017 at 2.00 pm

## PRESENT: County Councillor S. Jones (Chairman)

County Councillors: D. Dovey, D. Edwards and A. Wintle

Also in attendance:<br>County Councillors R.J.W. Greenland, P. Jones and V. Smith.<br>Vivien Collins - Project Manager for Superfast Cymru<br>David Elsmere - Partnership Manager for Superfast Business Wales<br>Mary Shooter - Llanover Community Council<br>Andrew Neville - Llanover Community Council<br>Alan Williams - Llanover Community Council<br>Morgan Chandler - Landlord, Upper Llanover

## OFFICERS IN ATTENDANCE:

| Cath Fallon | Head of Economy and Enterprise |
| :--- | :--- |
| Rob O'Dwyer | Head of Property Services and Facilities Management |
| Hannah Jones | Youth Enterprise Manager |
| James Woodcock | Business Insights Manager |
| Hazel Ilett | Scrutiny Manager |
| Richard Williams | Democratic Services Officer |

## APOLOGIES:

County Councillors: B. Hayward, D. Evans, J. Prosser and S. White.

## 1. Chair's announcement

As this was the final meeting of the Economy and Development Select Committee before the local Elections are held on $4^{\text {th }}$ May 2017, the Chair wished to thank the Scrutiny Manager, the Democratic Services Team and the Select Committee for their input and support during the previous five years.

## 2. Declarations of Interest

County Councillor S. Jones declared a personal, non-prejudicial interest pursuant to the Member's Code of Conduct in respect of agenda item 6 - Update regarding rate revaluations, as she is the Head of the Welsh Retail Consortium. She stood down from chairing this agenda item but remained in the meeting. County Councillor A. Wintle was elected to chair agenda item 6, as the Vice-Chair was not present at the meeting.

County Councillor S. Jones declared a personal, non-prejudicial interest pursuant to the Member's Code of Conduct in respect of agenda item 7 - Y Prentis Update as she works for an organisation that deals with levy payers in the retail industry.
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## 3. Confirmation of Minutes:

3.1. Economy and Development Select Committee dated 9th February 2017

The minutes were confirmed and signed by the Chair.
In doing so, it was noted that the organisers of the velothon had been invited to attend today's meeting but were unable to do so. An invitation has been extended to the organisers, with a view to them attending a future meeting of the Select Committee.

The Scrutiny Manager would make available to the Select Committee the return on investment report.

### 3.2. Joint Select Committee (All Four Select Committees) dated 27th February 2017

The minutes were confirmed and signed by the Chair.

## 4. Broadband Provision in Monmouthshire

We welcomed Vivien Collins, Manager for Superfast Cymru and David Elsmere, Partnership Manager for Superfast Business Wales to the meeting in order to receive the following presentations:

- An update on Superfast Cymru deployment.
- An update on Superfast Business Wales.


## Superfast Cymru Deployment

Statistics relating to Monmouthshire:

- Total Premises in Intervention Area - 23,290
- Total Premises Passed at 24Mbps and above - 16,596
- FTTC Premises Passed - 15,481
- FTTP Premises Passed - 1,115
- LA Intervention Area Percentage Complete - 71.26 \%
- FTTC Take- up - 32.26\%
- FTTP Take-up - 21.45\%
- Average Download Speeds - 78Mbps

Having received a presentation regarding Superfast Cymru deployment, the Chair allowed members of the public and representatives from Llanover Community Council to outline their concerns and ask questions with regard to this matter. In doing so, the following points were noted:

- Llanover had received a presentation from BT last year. However, not one of the promises made by BT have been followed up. There appears to be no sanctions in place to ensure the completion of the promises made. In response, it was noted that BT has quarterly targets that have to be met but they are not location


## MONMOUTHSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

## Minutes of the meeting of Economy and Development Select Committee held at County Hall, The Rhadyr, Usk, NP15 1GA on Thursday, 6th April, 2017 at 2.00 pm

specific. However, BT has to meet the numbers set. If this does not happen, financial penalties are put in place.

- In response to a question raised regarding the definition of an isolated area, it was noted that there is no definition. However, the Manager for Superfast Cymru stated that with regard to specific places that are currently experiencing problems with their broadband connection, she would raise these issues with BT.
- It was noted that $96 \%$ of properties in Wales would receive broadband access other than the very remote areas within Wales. Monmouthshire is a rural County, however, it is not remote.
- Concern was expressed regarding the lack of knowledge displayed by BT when an engineer visits the Llanover area. Often, engineers rely on local knowledge to inform them of the location of the boxes and which property is connected to which box. This happens regularly. It appears that this information is not held for the next time that an engineer visits a particular location. The Manager for Superfast Cymru stated that this matter would be taken back to BT.
- A property in Llanover has three BT lines for three different businesses. However, not one of the lines receives reliable broadband provision. This is affecting the efficiency of the businesses. The property is only located two miles from the main highway. The resident is paying for two broadband lines but is not receiving an adequate service. The Manager for Superfast Cymru agreed to take the details of the property and would obtain a response from BT.
- It was noted that the farming community needs to have a reliable broadband provision as certain data and grant claims can only be submitted to government departments online.
- The landlord of a public house in Upper Llanover is experiencing similar problems with unreliable broadband provision which is having a detrimental effect on his business. The Manager for Superfast Cymru stated that she would take away the specifics of this issue and pass them on to BT.
- Two of the major sectors in Monmouthshire are tourism and farming which are being negatively impacted upon by the lack of good quality broadband provision.
- It was considered that Welsh Government should insist on the provision of a minimum broadband speed with a view to ensuring a consistent service.
- The Manager for Superfast Cymru stated that for those areas without broadband provision to date and which may not be in the programme, these areas can apply for an Access Broadband Cymru (ABC) Grant.


## Members' Scrutiny

- Surveys for the Raglan area have been returned and the main issues here are the poor broadband service that is being provided.
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- With regard to the next steps, it was noted that on completion of the current contract in 2017, during the last six months the aim is to go out to procurement to take the network further. The Minister has earmarked a £80M budget for the next three to five years.
- Areas that are not located within the Intervention area may apply for an Access Broadband Cymru (ABC) Grant.
- Communities that are not located within the Intervention area would need to write to the Minister requesting that they be included.
- A public consultation exercise will be held at the beginning of May 2017 for one month. This will allow communities to check whether they have been included in the Intervention area. This will be via a premises level and not a post code level.
- With regard to individual inquiries, the Authority is holding a database for those premises that have an issue, to register. Some pilot schemes are currently running. One of these is for TV white space which uses analogue television signals for broadband. The other pilot scheme is AB Internet. The funding for the AB Internet pilot scheme, which includes Llanover, came directly from the UK Government.
- With regard to cabling, it was noted that cabling can be installed but is not live. When it does become live, which can take up to two to three months, BT will then start contacting residents. There is an availability checker on the Welsh Government Website. Communications regarding this information is not common. BT need to be made aware of this issue.
- The EcoPOP system uses renewable energy to power the $A B$ internet system. However, there have been some issues regarding reliability of this system which has been noted.
- Monmouthshire was one of the first regions in Wales to receive fibre cabling but is the last region to be connected.
- The new housing estates in Monmouth have fibre cabling. Other areas within Monmouth rely on copper cabling with slower speeds.
- Concern was expressed that the contract with BT relies on the number of connections provided. Therefore, BT will go to the areas that are easier to connect rather than going to the areas that necessarily require connection sooner. It was considered that the contract needed to be reviewed.
- The upcoming City Deal and the reduction in the tolls on the Severn Bridge is good news. Therefore, enquires are being made by businesses with regard to the opportunities and availability for new business locations in Monmouthshire. However, Monmouthshire has the worst broadband provision in Wales which is having a negative impact on existing businesses and businesses looking to establish locations within the County.
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- It was noted that without the intervention area over 16,000 premises within Monmouthshire would not have received the service.
- With regard to the numbers targets, it was noted that BT is required to go to a number of specific postcodes which are identified as priority areas. These areas are determined at the beginning of the project and are known as value zones and are located in the more hard to reach areas. BT have to reach $100 \%$ of these value zones.
- It was advised that the County Council and the Town and Community Councils within Monmouthshire should write to the Welsh Government Minister and ensure that they are vocal in the public consultation exercise for the next phase.
- An invitation to attend a future meeting of the Select Committee should be extended to the Minister to hear the concerns directly.
- Monmouthshire is currently at $71 \%$ broadband coverage. New figures will be available at the end of May 2017.
- It was considered that rural communities were missing out on broadband provision even though funding for broadband provision in rural communities has been made available.
- Concern was expressed that broadband provision in Monmouthshire was poor and needed to be brought up to the same standard as other counties within Wales. This message would be taken back to BT.
- The consultation process will be available on line and also via post.
- Many residents are paying for Superfast Broadband but are connected to the wrong Cabinets and are paying for a service that they cannot access. This matter would be taken back to BT.
- In response to a question raised, it was noted that some of the premises left are those where a connection is expensive to install. There is a finite budget for the programme. The aim is to get as many premises passed as possible. Therefore, some of the very expensive premises will be outside the programme. There is also a contract clause which states that if it is likely to cost more than $£ 1700$ to go to a single property then BT has to go to the Welsh Government to ask permission to go to the property or whether BT should go to an area that will provide better value for money.
- Contrary to information provided regarding Superfast Broadband provision in Devauden, it was noted that this service had not been installed in the village and residents were still waiting to be connected.
- BT had put in a claim on a monthly basis for a number of premises under a number of exchanges. There are two full time consultants who investigate whether the information provided by BT is technically correct. $20 \%$ of premises
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are then physically checked to ensure that the connections are correct. This includes download speeds.

## Superfast Business Wales

## Member Scrutiny:

- There are five workshops that will be held in Monmouthshire.
- With each of the local authorities, Superfast business Wales has a delivery plan which is a working document.
- Part of the workshop delivery is live via websites so good broadband connectivity is required to demonstrate the programme.
- Breakfast events are also held.
- Liaison with the County Council is key to ensuring success and the Authority is very supportive of the programme.
- The Select Committee would welcome a progress update in a few years.


## Committee's Conclusion:

- We have not seen the assurances that we were seeking or hoping for.
- There are opportunities to influence improvements.
- Answers to the questions raised are required.
- The approach being taken by BT is actively damaging to the County because we are falling behind the rest of Wales.
- We need to ensure that we are supporting our rural communities, businesses and tourism by building up the digital infrastructure which needs to be effective and fit for purpose for the future.
- Be aware of the consultation period which commences in May 2017 and to act accordingly.
- Members to receive a map of Monmouthshire identifying areas within the County, at a premises level, that are receiving a satisfactory broadband provision.
- The Chair will write on behalf of the Select Committee, capturing the issues discussed today and send to the Minister with a request that the Minister attends a future meeting of the Select Committee to answer questions regarding this matter.
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## 5. Youth Education-Enterprise Offer

## Context:

To scrutinise the 2017/18 Action Plan for the Youth Education-Enterprise Offer.

## Key Issues:

To raise awareness of and develop the Youth Education-Enterprise Offer by working with schools, colleges and other partners to enhance current provision in a more cohesive way. The offer will utilise good practice from partners to enhance existing enterprise and entrepreneurship opportunities in Monmouthshire.

To provide learning, training and employability opportunities through the delivery of Inspire2Achieve (I2A) and Inspire2Work (I2W) ESF (European Structural Fund) programmes for 11-24 year olds, working towards ESF priority 3 - Youth Employment. Specific Objective 1 - To reduce the number of $16-24$ years olds who are Not in Education, Employment or Training (NEET) and Specific Objective 2 - To reduce the number of those becoming Not in Education, Employment or Training (NEET) amongst 11-24 year olds.

To sustain the Engagement and Progression Coordinator (EPC) function, which will contribute to reduced risk of young people becoming NEET and better outcomes for young people by brokering the right options and support interventions.

To work closely with partners to implement a support package for those most vulnerable NEET 16-24 year olds to extend their skills and interests, and reduce homelessness by promoting independent living.

To seek to increase engagement between young people and local business in stimulating future career pathways and local employment opportunities, by introducing national events to a local audience and collaborating with local employers.

To provide work experience / placement, traineeship and apprenticeship opportunities within a policy framework, supporting the 'Y Prentis' apprenticeship programme.

## Member Scrutiny:

- In response to a Select Committee Member's question regarding young carers, it was noted that the report makes reference to vulnerable young people which includes young carers.
- It was essential that every young person reaches their full potential. For some young people, apprenticeship schemes were vital in enabling them to achieve their goals.
- It would be appropriate to review progress of the action plan throughout the year including success stories of case studies.
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- Try to engage more business.
- The Offer will be taken into schools and colleges with a view to opening up more opportunities for young people. Inviting businesses into schools will also be undertaken inviting open dialogue between schools / colleges and businesses.


## Committee's Conclusion:

We resolved:
(i) to support the Youth Education-Enterprise Offer Action Plan to enable continued engagement and participation with young people, schools and partners.
(ii) that Members promote the Youth Education-Enterprise Offer in their representation role in their wards across Monmouthshire.
(iii) to visit Business Mentors.

## 6. Business Rate Re-valuations

## Context:

To provide an update regarding business rate revaluation from $1^{\text {st }}$ April 2017.

## Key Issues:

- The revised rateable values come into force on 1st April 2017.
- The Council uses the rateable value to calculate business rate bills by multiplying it by a rate poundage set annually by the Welsh Government.
- For $2017 / 18$ that poundage is 0.499 . New rates bills show the revised rateable value and the amount payable for the 2017/18 financial year.
- The revaluation exercise has resulted in significant changes in rateable value for some businesses and Welsh Government recognises that businesses need time to adjust to an increase in their rate liability and so have introduced new regulations to provide a transitional relief scheme. No application is required The Council will automatically apply this relief to eligible businesses as follows:
- 2017/18 75\% of the increase in charge.
- 2018/19 50\% of the increase in charge.
- 2019/20 25\% of the increase in charge.
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## Qualification for Transitional Relief

- Ratepayers in receipt of Small Business Rate Relief (SBRR) on 31 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ March 2017 which is either reduced or removed as a result of an increase in rateable value following the revaluation.
- The business premises must be shown in the Rating List on $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2017.
- There must be an increase in rate liability greater than $£ 100$.
- The property must be occupied.
- The ratepayer must continue to be the same as the one liable on 31st March 2017.
- The ratepayer must not be in receipt of relief under S44A (applies to property which is partially unoccupied for a short time only).
- If someone qualifies for transitional relief it will be shown on their rates bill.


## Small Business Rate Relief (SBRR)

The SBRR scheme will continue into 2017/18. Properties with a rateable value up to $£ 6,000$ pay nothing. Properties with a rateable value between £6,001 and £12,000 receive tapered relief. Businesses in receipt of SBRR may also benefit from the transitional relief scheme.

If someone qualifies for small business relief it will be shown on their rates bill.

## Targeted High Street Rate Relief Scheme

Welsh Government has recently announced funding of $£ 10$ million to be distributed between the 22 local authorities in Wales to provide further assistance to certain retail businesses including those which have seen their rates increase significantly as a result of the revaluation. The scheme is based on the previous Wales Retail Relief Scheme, using broadly the same exceptions and eligibility criteria to define what constitutes a retail property.

Some details of the scheme have only just been finalised but it will provide two tiers of relief. Up to $£ 500$ (tier 1) and $£ 1,500$ (tier 2) to qualifying properties with a rateable value of $£ 50,000$ or less in the financial year 2017/18.

This new High Street relief can be given in addition to SBRR and transitional relief and will be applied to the net bill after all other reliefs have been applied. Relief is given based on the circumstances of the property and the ratepayer on $1^{\text {st }}$ April 2017. Changes that occur after that date have no impact on eligibility for relief.

## Businesses not entitled to the targeted High Street relief include:

- Properties with rateable value greater than $£ 50,000$.

$$
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- Properties not reasonably accessible to the public.
- Properties situated in out of town retail parks or on industrial estates.
- Properties that are not occupied.
- Those in receipt of mandatory charitable rate relief.


## Member Scrutiny:

- Some businesses in Monmouthshire are experiencing business rates increases of up to $585 \%$.
- The Cabinet Member has written to Mark Drakeford, Cabinet Secretary, Welsh Government, to make him aware of the issues Monmouthshire is experiencing at a local level.
- Monmouthshire is experiencing an average increase of $7 \%$ whilst Cardiff is receiving a decrease of $5 \%$.
- In England, where there have been business rates increases for small businesses and public houses, these have been capped at an increase of $£ 50$ per month and then graduated over a period of time to allow businesses to get used to the increases. This scheme is not being operated in Wales.
- There is concern that businesses are not being protected against these large increases in business rates which could lead to disaster for some businesses in Monmouthshire.
- There is an appeals process which is free. In the interim, businesses will be required to pay any increases during the appeal process but the money will be reimbursed if the appeal is won. Appeals will be expedited where there are hardship cases.
- Bills have now gone out to businesses for their business rates. These bills take account of any small business rates relief that a business might be eligible for and transitional relief but the high street rate relief scheme had not been finalised in time to be incorporated into business rates bills. Therefore, bills will need to be re-issued in due course to reflect any high street rates relief that businesses might be eligible for.
- Concern was expressed that hospitality businesses were being targeted with regard to their rateable values.
- Moderation across Wales has not been applied.


## Committee's Conclusion:

- A Member's briefing from the Valuation Office Agency (VOA) regarding rate revaluations should be considered.
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- The views outlined would be forwarded to the Welsh Government expressing the concerns raised in respect of business rate increases for Monmouthshire's businesses.


## 7. Y Prentis

## Context:

To scrutinise the 2016-18 Y Prentis Business Plan.

## Key Issues:

Y Prentis was established in 2012 by $\mathrm{CMC}^{2}$ and Melin Homes as a 'not for profit' company, limited by guarantee. Y Prentis is the only Construction Industry Training Board's (CITB) approved deliverer of shared apprenticeships in construction in South East Wales. Its vision is to 'provide long term sustainable employment opportunities to help young people maximise their potential' and its aim is to recruit 50 new CITB sponsored apprentices per year and 10 Y Prentis sponsored apprentices per year.
$Y$ Prentis is clear in its priorities which are to:

- Deliver more apprenticeships to meet industry need.
- Keep the pipeline of new entrants flowing.
- Develop a career offer that promotes the construction sector as a route to economic prosperity for people of all backgrounds.
- Work with CITB, schools, colleges, universities and other training providers to ensure we develop their knowledge and understanding of the construction sector's requirements.
- Provide support to help businesses to improve their competitive edge and to take advantage of new opportunities.
- Work with the CITB, industry and government to help deliver infrastructure projects.


## Member Scrutiny:

- To date, Y Prentis has enabled over 160 young people into sustainable living wage apprenticeships of which 12 have been from Monmouthshire.
- In response to a Select Committee Member's question, it was noted that the detail of the levy is still being developed. Officers are waiting for Welsh Government frameworks and advice.
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- Demand is already high and is forecast to continue to be by high in the coming years in terms of skills required for the construction industry. Y Prentis is perfectly positioned to provide that service.
- A study is being undertaken via the Rural Development Programme (RDP) in terms of needs for the catering sector. There are likely to be opportunities for apprenticeships in this field and in many other areas.
- The Y Prentis Model can apply to different sectors but it is particularly suited to the construction industry and also engineering.
- In response to a Select Committee Member's question, it was noted that $Y$ Prentis has eight partnered colleges. Y Prentis is partnered with 60 contractors and it has in the region of 30 clients. The dialogue with colleges with regards to what is required is ongoing but does need to be taken further.
- The type of education needed to be put in place in all schools and colleges needs to be able to cater for the types of apprenticeships on offer. The education strategy is currently being reviewed. Therefore, this is a good opportunity to address these issues.
- The Y Prentis model covers the whole of the South East Wales region. Therefore, no one is excluded from the portfolio of potential projects that could factor into providing training opportunities for young people.
- In response to a Select Committee Member's question regarding Y Prentis finances, it was noted that $Y$ Prentis is operating at a surplus and has a healthy reserve to fall back on if required.
- There is a close working relationship being undertaken with Careers Wales with regard to advising young people. It was noted that Costain was currently working on the Heads of the Valleys Dualling between Gilwern and Brynmawr and were encouraging the public to visit the site. Schools should be encouraged to take students to the site. It was noted that this matter had been identified and there was an appetite to follow this matter through.


## Committee's Conclusion:

- The Economy Committee at the Welsh Assembly has an ongoing inquiry regarding apprenticeships. Monmouthshire County Council could put forward its view with regard to this matter with a view to helping to shape national policy.


## 8. Economy and Development Scrutiny Forward Work Programme

We scrutinised the Economy and Development Select Committee Work Programme.
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In doing so, the work programme would be amended to include the organisers of the velothon attending a future meeting of the Select Committee.

We resolved to receive the report and noted its content.

## 9. Cabinet \& Council Forward Work Programme

We resolved to receive and note the Cabinet and Council Forward Work Programme.

## 10. Next meeting

The next meeting of the Economy and Development Select Committee will be held on Thursday $8^{\text {th }}$ June 2017 at 10.00am.

The meeting ended at 4.50 pm .
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# SUBJECT: YOUTH ENTERPRISE - EUROPEAN STRUCTURAL FUND (ESF) PROGRAMMES - INSPIRE2WORK EXTENSION <br> MEETING: ECONOMY AND DEVELOPMENT SELECT COMMITTEE <br> DATE: $\quad 13^{\text {th }}$ June 2017 <br> DIVISION/WARDS AFFECTED: ALL 

## 1. PURPOSE:

1.1 Further to Cabinet approval for the implementation of the Inspire2Work (I2W) programme in March 2016, Youth Enterprise requests in principle support for additional match funding from Authority reserves. This funding will enable the enhanced delivery of the existing I2W programme which provides post 16 support, intervention and employment opportunities utilising European Structural Fund (ESF) monies.

## 2. RECOMMENDATIONS:

2.1 To support an Individual Member decision to release additional match funding for the next three years to January 2020, enabling support for an additional 120 participants across an extended 18-24 age range. The funding will enable the extension of the existing team to include an Employability Officer and administrative apprenticeship opportunity. The Employability Officer duties will be extended for other programmes across additional funding streams on a timesheet basis.

## 3. KEY ISSUES:

3.1 The current I2W programme for 16-18 year olds was approved by Cabinet in March 2016 for three years at total project cost of $£ 381,601$ split between $55 \%$ ESF of $£ 171,720$ and MCC match funding £209,881.
3.2 Following the delayed final approval of the programme in February 2017 one of the project's original partners, Melin Homes, has withdrawn from the project. This provides an opportunity for the Authority to extend its current programme provision beyond 16-18 year olds to 16-24 year olds.
3.3 Members have previously been made aware that Welsh Government has implemented the Youth Engagement and Progression Framework 2013, which provides a delivery model centred on the needs of young people identifying six key areas for achieving better outcomes for young people.The principles of the framework is embedded in the I2W programme and is designed to secure added value whilst reflecting the needs and aspirations of Monmouthshire's young people and the Authority's Single Integrated Plan.

## 4. REASONS:

4.1 Inspire2Work enables Not in Education, Employment or Training (NEET) 16-24 year olds to gain a range of skills, qualifications and meaningful work placements to feel confident and motivated to enter into sustainable employment or further learning. Outcomes include; NEET young people gaining qualifications upon leaving; NEET young people in education or training upon leaving and NEET young people entering employment upon leaving.
4.2 Positive Progression from I2W will include work-based learning, engagement and traineeship programmes which are delivered by Youth Enterprise through Torfaen Training and the Authority's YPrentis Shared Apprenticeship Construction Scheme.

## 5. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

5.1 ESF programmes require $55 \%$ of total project costs to be match funded. The current project funding for I2W over three years is $£ 381,601$ ESF $£ 171,720$ with Authority match funding provision of £209,881. The enhanced delivery programme will incur circa an additional £180,652 additional cost over the the lifetime of project, consisting of $£ 81,293$ ESF and $£ 99,359$ match funding. In real terms the additional match funding requirement from Authority reserves will be circa $£ 75,000$ broken down as follows: Year One £17,000; Year Two £38,000 and Year Three £20,000.
6. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AND EQUALITY IMPLICATIONS:
6.1 The programme will improve provision, opportunties and outcomes to those targeted. This includes vulnerable young people; young carers, young parents, homeless, care leavers, young offenders as well as young people economically inactive and furthest from the labour market.

## 7. SAFEGUARDING AND CORPORATE PARENTING IMPLICATIONS

7.1 The report outlines Youth Enterprise's aims to continue pre and post 16 services in order for them to remain accessible, safe, available and relevant to the young people of Monmouthshire.
8. CONSULTEES:

Senior Leadership Team
9. BACKGROUND PAPERS:

Future Generations Evaluation
Inspire2Work Project Costs
10. AUTHOR:

Hannah Jones, MCC Youth Enterprise Manager
11. CONTACT DETAILS:

Tel: 07738340418
E-mail: hannahjones@monmouthshire.gov.uk

# monmouthshire sir fynwy 

## Future Generations Evaluation

## (includes Equalities and Sustainability Impact Assessments)

| Name of the Officer Hannah Jones | Please give a brief description of the aims of the proposal <br> Phone no: $07738 \mathbf{3 4 0 4 1 8}$ <br> E-mail:hannahjones@monmouthshire.gov.uk |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name of Service: Enterprise/Youth Enterprise Team | To implement the Inspire2Work programme enabling a reduction in the <br> number of young people aged $16-24$ years who are NEET( not in <br> education, employment or training) |

NB. Key strategies and documents that may help you identify your contribution to the wellbeing goals and sustainable development principles include: Single Integrated Plan, Continuance Agreement, Improvement Plan, Local Development Plan, People Strategy, Asset Management Plan, Green Infrastructure SPG, Welsh Language Standards, etc
(1)
. Does your proposal deliver any of the well-being goals below? Please explain the impact (positive and negative) you expect, together with
$\checkmark_{\text {suggestions of how to mitigate negative impacts or better contribute to the goal. }}$

| Well Being Goal | Does the proposal contribute to this goal? Describe <br> the positive and negative impacts. | What actions have been/will be taken to mitigate <br> any negative impacts or better contribute to <br> positive impacts? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A prosperous Wales Efficient use of <br> resources, skilled, educated people, <br> generates wealth, provides jobs | The aim of the programme is to create the conditions <br> for young people most at risk to thrive. It will enable <br> young people to feel confident and capable to engage <br> in school with peers and others and enjoy the <br> curriculum. It will support young people to make the <br> right choices to move into further education, <br> employment and training. The programmes will equip <br> young people with the appropriate skills to manage and <br> sustain further education, employment and training. | Developing bespoke interventions and support <br> packages to support the learner's needs. |


| Well Being Goal | Does the proposal contribute to this goal? Describe the positive and negative impacts. | What actions have been/will be taken to mitigate any negative impacts or better contribute to positive impacts? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A resilient Wales <br> Maintain and enhance biodiversity and ecosystems that support resilience and can adapt to change (e.g. climate change) | The programmes will work within the Environmental Sustainability Objectives set by WEFO( Welsh European Funding Office) and deliver to the indicators set for an ESF(European Structural Funding) Youth Employment and Attainment priority. The programmes will address issues such as waste, recycling, minimize energy usage and efficient use of such resources, whilst also raising awareness of environmental issues and healthy lifestyles. They will also provide targeted environmental education training, including potential for accreditation. | Sharing expertise, networks and resources will ensure a good provision for our young people. |
| is maximized and health impacts are understood | The Inspire2Work team will work with young people, supporting them to overcome health and wellbeing barriers by either delivering health or wellbeing workshops and/or support, linking learners with health care professionals, organisations and networks and other health and wellbeing services. The young people's health and wellbeing is a crucial element to reducing their overall risk of becoming NEET. | The one to one support from teams will enable us to identify and address individual need. Setting agreed goals and reinforcing recognition and achievements. A reduction in the barriers to participation is a key element of the programmes enabling young people to be engaged, supported and valued. The level of support offered will be high and consistent. |
| A Wales of cohesive communities Communities are attractive, viable, safe and well connected | The programmes will encourage safe and appropriate use of IT and the internet, developing young peoples' ICT literacy skills, preparing them for further learning, education and training. <br> The programmes will link to the wider community, helping young people be active citizens in their community and have a greater awareness of community safety. | Encourage safer use of the internet and raise awareness of the harmful effects and consequences of inappropriate use of social media |


| Well Being Goal | Does the proposal contribute to this goal? Describe <br> the positive and negative impacts. | What actions have been/will be taken to mitigate <br> any negative impacts or better contribute to <br> positive impacts? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A globally responsible Wales <br> Taking account of impact on global well- <br> being when considering local social, <br> economic and environmental wellbeing | The programmes are funded by the ESF and the <br> managing authority is WEFO. ESF has undertaken a full <br> Socio Economic Analysis to identify needs, challenges <br> and opportunities across Wales. It will corporate <br> ESDGC (Education, Sustainable Development and <br> Global Citizenship) elements enable young people to <br> become globally responsible citizens. | Working closely with our Local Authority partners <br> and Careers Wales we will share resources and <br> good practices to ensure young people have the best <br> opportunities to engage in global well -being and <br> how this impact's on their community. |
| A Wales of vibrant culture and <br> thriving Welsh language <br> Culture, heritage and Welsh language <br> repromoted and protected. People are | The programmes will ensure that all marketing, <br> publications and printed literature is available bilingually <br> where appropriate. The programmes will conform to the <br> Welsh Language Legislation Welsh Language Wales <br> Measure 2011 and accompanying welsh language <br> standards. The Eisteddfod will be in Abergavenny in <br> 2016, therefore we will encourage young people on the <br> programme to get involved and support the event. | Encouraging young people to embrace the vibrant <br> welsh culture and language. |
| Qcouraged to do sport, art and | The programme will develop effective ways to engage <br> and provide support for those individuals regardless of <br> ethnic origin, gender, disability sexual orientation or <br> religion to ensure all young people actively participate <br> in and benefit from the programme. The programme will <br> follow WEFO's guidance and the LA Equal <br> Opportunity's Policy which stipulates how staff can best <br> promote equality of opportunity and outcomes for young <br> people regardless of age, race, ethnicity and disability. | All of our policies and procedures are guided by the <br> current local and national equal opportunities <br> guidance and legislation. |
| $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ |  |  |

2. How has your proposal embedded and prioritised the sustainable governance principles in its development?

| Sustainable Development Principle | Does your proposal demonstrate you have met this principle? If yes, describe how. If not explain why. | Are there any additional actions to be taken to mitigate any negative impacts or better contribute to positive impacts? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balancing short term need with long term and planning for the future | The long term plan for the future is that young people that have engaged in Inspire2Work will remain in education, employment or training and their risk of becoming NEET is reduced. This will reduce the likelihood of future or continuing poverty amongst young people. It will also deliver improved health and well-being for young people, whilst also instilling a work ethic for young people and seeing aspiration levels rise. | With the financial investment form the Local Authority into this 3 year programme, the aim is to develop resilience in the 'most at risk' NEET young people, so that as their confidence and skills develops, they will be able to progress, either achieving specified softer outcomes or progressing into further employment. <br> We therefore anticipate that over the life of the programmes the number most at risk of NEET and who are NEET will reduce due to the success of the programmes. |
|  Working <br> together with <br> O other partners <br> to deliver <br> OD objectives <br> O  <br> Collaboration  | The Inspire2Work is a regional programme. Newport City Council is the lead beneficiary, working with the following joint beneficiaries; City of Cardiff County Council, Monmouthshire County Council, The Vale of Glamorgan Council and Llamau. |  |
|  <br> Involving those with an interest and seeking their views | The programmes will involve learners in the design, delivery, evaluation and redesign of the learning experiences. As part of the learning journey, the team understands the necessity for bespoke learning opportunities involving the learner and fostering shared responsibility and autonomy of the learner as well as constant progress. | The programme will discuss at point of referral the learners' needs, vocational pathways and training route options. It will provide formative reviews of the learner experience, carry out Learner Voice questionnaires, have informal discussions and feedback during the programme and end of programme evaluations. |


| Sustainable Development Principle | Does your proposal demonstrate you have met this principle? If yes, describe how. If not explain why. | Are there any additional actions to be taken to mitigate any negative impacts or better contribute to positive impacts? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Putting resources into preventing problems occurring or getting worse | The Inspire2Work programme is both preventative initiative to enable the reduction in the risk of young people becoming NEET and reduction in the number of young people who are NEET. <br> It is anticipated that the long term impact of the programmes will challenge behaviours, actions and attitudes, subsequently establishing firm foundations on which to support in the future and provide generic skills. | The programmes will be monitored and reviewed on a quarterly basis to ensure targets are on track and then young people feel the programmes are meeting their needs and expectations. |
|  | The programmes will work with young people, supporting them to overcome health and wellbeing barriers by either delivering health and wellbeing programmes and/or support, linking young people with health care professionals, organisations and networks and other health and wellbeing services. The young peoples' health and wellbeing is a crucial element to reducing their overall risk of becoming NEET. | The programmes will be monitored and reviewed through amount of referrals to speclaist support for emotional wellbeing; progress of each young person during the programme and through termly discussions with other profressionals within the school community. |

3. Are your proposals going to affect any people or groups of people with protected characteristics? Please explain the impact, the evidence you have used and any action you are taking below. For more detailed information on the protected characteristics, the Equality Act 2010 and the Welsh Language Standards that apply to Monmouthshire Council please follow this link:http://hub/corporatedocs/Equalities/Forms/Allltems.aspx or contact Alan Burkitt on 01633644010 or alanburkitt@monmouthshire.gov.uk

| Protected Characteristics | Describe any positive impacts your proposal has on the protected characteristic | Describe any negative impacts your proposal has on the protected characteristic | What has been/will be done to mitigate any negative impacts or better contribute to positive impacts? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | We aim to engage with young people 1124years most at risk of becoming NEET (not in education, employment or training) or who are NEET. | None identified | N/A |
| Disability | We aim to engage and support young people to meet with individual needs without discrimination. | None identified | N/A |
| Gender reassignment $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & N \\ & N \end{aligned}$ | We aim to provide a provision which is inclusive for transgender people and groups We will address any issues in regards to work placements, employment and training opportunities. | None identified | N/A |
| Marriage or civil partnership | Not applicable | None identified | N/A |
| Pregnancy or maternity | Risk assessment will be in place for pregnant young people ensures all health and safety measures have been addressed and the well-being of the young person is paramount. | None identified | N/A |
| Race | Young people referred onto the Inspire2Work programme will be given the same opportunities regardless of race. The relevant support will be provided to meet individual needs | None identified | N/A |


| Protected Characteristics | Describe any positive impacts your proposal has on the protected characteristic | Describe any negative impacts your proposal has on the protected characteristic | What has been/will be done to mitigate any negative impacts or better contribute to positive impacts? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Religion or Belief | We aim to offer bespoke packages of support that will take into account young peoples' religion and religious beliefs. | None identified | N/A |
| Sex | We aim to offer opportunities that will take into account individual needs regardless of sex. | None identified | N/A |
| Sexual Orientation | We aim to offer opportunities that will take into account individual needs regardless of sexual orientation. | None identified | N/A |
| טְ0 <br> ©Velsh Language N $\omega$ | We will adhere to the Welsh Government Welsh Language Policy. We will aim to provide bilingual learning opportunities if there is a need. | None identified | N/A |

4. Council has agreed the need to consider the impact its decisions has on important responsibilities of Corporate Parenting and safeguarding. Are your proposals going to affect either of these responsibilities? For more information please see the guidance
http://hub/corporatedocs/Democratic\ Services/Safeguarding\ Guidance.docx and for more on Monmouthshire's Corporate Parenting Strategy see http://hub/corporatedocs/SitePages/Corporate\ Parenting\ Strategy.aspx

|  | Describe any positive impacts your <br> proposal has on safeguarding and <br> corporate parenting | Describe any negative impacts your <br> proposal has on safeguarding and <br> corporate parenting | What will you do/ have you done to <br> mitigate any negative impacts or <br> better contribute to positive <br> impacts? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Safeguarding | Safeguarding is a priority, young people on <br> the programmes will have a multiple barriers <br> and are vulnerable individuals. All staff and <br> volunteers have completed the <br> Safeguarding level 1.The programme will <br> link with the TAF system and monthly multi- <br> agency meetings in schools. | None identified | N/A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Corporate Parenting | Inspire2Work programme targets young <br> people who are looked after children (LAC) <br> and care leavers. We aim to provide a tailo <br> package which is flexible to their needs and <br> circumstances. | None identified | N/A |

## 5. What evidence and data has informed the development of your proposal?

The following data has identified and informed the need to develop the Inspire2Achieve programme;

- The Local Authority Early Identification process identifying young people most at risk in key stage 3 and 4.
- The Careers Wales 5 Tier model data, identifying young people 16-18 years in tier 4 who are in education, employment or training yet are at risk due to circumstances.

- Young people 19-24 years who are vulnerable and are still in education.

Phe following data has identified and informed the need to develop the Inspire2Work programme;
A The Careers Wales 5 Tier model data young people in tier 1 and 2 of the system who are NEET

- NOMIS figures identifying the number of 19-24 year olds that claim job seeker allowance
- 16-24 vulnerable groups identified through local partnership forums.

The work of the 14-19 Curriculum Group, Multi-Agency Meetings, Post 16 Steering Group and the Keeping in Touch have identified the need for further intervention for those most vulnerable to participate and engage in the curriculum and sustain future education, employment and training.

## 6. SUMMARY: As a result of completing this form, what are the main positive and negative impacts of your proposal, how have they

 informed/changed the development of the proposal so far and what will you be doing in future?It is anticipated that the Inspire2Work programme will have a positive impact on the young peoples' social, emotional, health and wellbeing, education and skills. This will develop their resilience and improve their life chances. This proposal does acknowledge that due to personal, social and educational barriers, not every young person will progress into full time education, training or employment.
7. ACTIONS: As a result of completing this form are there any further actions you will be undertaking? Please detail them below, if applicable.

| What are you going to do | When are you going to do it? | Who is responsible | Progress |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Implementation of the <br> Inspire2Work programme | February 2017 | Hannah Jones | Programme Started |

8. MONITORING: The impacts of this proposal will need to be monitored and reviewed. Please specify the date at which you will evaluate the impact, and where you will report the results of the review.

| The impacts of this proposal will be evaluated on: | March 2018 |
| :--- | :--- |

9. VERSION CONTROL: The Future Generations Evaluation should be used at the earliest stages of decision making, and then honed and refined throughout the decision making process. It is important to keep a record of this process so that we can demonstrate how we have considered and built in sustainable development wherever possible.

| 受ersion Ro. | Decision making stage | Date considered | Brief description of any amendments made following consideration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots$ | Departmental Management Team (DMT) | $18^{\text {th }}$ August 2015 | .Approved by DMT no further amendments |
|  | Children and Young Peoples Select Committee | $17^{\text {th }}$ September 2015 | Costings to be broken down per year for ESF funding and match funding for future reports |
|  | Cabinet | $2^{\text {nd }}$ March 2016 | Inspire to Work and Inspire to Work programmes approved |

## Inspire(2)Work

## Inspire2Work Costings

| Current | Enhanced |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $18-19$ |  |  |  |
| Y2 |  |  |  |
| $£$ | $73,065.84$ | $£$ | $113,187.83$ |
| $£$ | $24,443.73$ | $£$ | $41,702.24$ |
| $£$ | $97,515.97$ | $£$ | $154,390.06$ |
| $£$ | $39,006.23$ | $£$ | $61,956.03$ |
| $£$ | $136,521.80$ | $£$ | $216,846.09$ |
| $£$ | $61,434.81$ | $£$ | $97,580.74$ |
| $£$ | $75,086.99$ | $£$ | $119,269.35$ |


| Current | Enhanced |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $19-20$ |  |  |  |
| Y3 |  |  |  |
| $£$ | $56,701.49$ | $£$ | $87,739.07$ |
| $£$ | $25,440.67$ | $£$ | $42,694.17$ |
| $£$ | $32,142.16$ | $£$ | $130,433.25$ |
| $£$ | $32,856.87$ | $£$ | $52,173.30$ |
| $£$ | $114,999.03$ | $£$ | $182,606.54$ |
| $£$ | $51,749.56$ | $£$ | $32,172.94$ |
| $£$ | $63,249.47$ | $£$ | $100,433.60$ |


| Current | Enhanced |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Total |  |  |  |
| $£$ | $199,433.70$ | $£$ | $285,775.07$ |
| $£$ | $73,138.44$ | $£$ | $114,834.41$ |
| $£$ | $272,572.14$ | $£$ | $401,609.48$ |
| $£$ | $109,028.86$ | $£$ | $160,643.79$ |
| $£$ | $381,601.00$ | $£$ | $562,253.27$ |
| $£$ | $171,720.45$ | $£$ | $253,013.97$ |
| $£$ | $209,830.55$ | $£$ | $309,239.30$ |

No. of Participant:

| 48 |  | 88 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $£$ | $2,710.00$ | $£$ | $1,850.01$ |


| 48 |  | 88 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $£$ | $2,344.20$ | $£$ |  |


| 46 |  | 86 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $£$ | $2,499.98$ | $£$ | $2,123.33$ |


| 142 |  | 262 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $£$ | $2,687.33$ | $£$ | $2,146.00$ |


#### Abstract

SUBJECT

\section*{REVENUE \& CAPITAL MONITORING 2016/17 OUTTURN STATEMENT}

\section*{DIRECTORATE}

\section*{MEETING}

DATE

DIVISIONS/ WARD AFFECTED

Resources Economy and Development 13th June 2017

All Authority


## 1. PURPOSE

1.1 The purpose of this report is to provide Members with information on the revenue outturn position of the Authority at the end of reporting period 4 which represents the financial outturn position for the 2016/17 financial year.
1.2 This report will also be considered by Select Committees as part of their responsibility to,

- assess whether effective budget monitoring is taking place,
- monitor the extent to which budgets are spent in accordance with agreed budget and policy framework,
- challenge the reasonableness of projected over or underspends, and
- monitor the achievement of predicted efficiency gains or progress in relation to savings proposals.


## 2. RECOMMENDATIONS PROPOSED TO CABINET

2.1 That Members consider a net revenue outturn unspend of $£ 884,000$, an improvement of $£ 805,000$ on quarter 3 outturn predictions.
2.2 Members consider a capital outturn spend of $£ 40.03 \mathrm{~m}$ against a revised budget of $£ 40.98$ million, after proposed slippage of $£ 17.5$ million, resulting in a net underspend of $£ 951 \mathrm{k}$.
2.3 Consider and approve the $£ 17.5 \mathrm{~m}$ capital slippage recommended (detailed in appendix 2), paying attention to those schemes described in para 3.3.6 where slippage has been requested by the service manager but is not being recommended to slip (£198k).
2.4 Considers the use of reserves proposed in para 3.4.1,
2.5 Supports the apportionment of general underspend in supplementing reserve levels as described in para 3.4.3 below, i.e.:

Priority Investment Fund £570k
Redundancy \& Pension Reserve £114k
IT Transformation Reserve £100k
Capital Receipts Generation Reserve £100k
Total £884k
2.6 Members note that the low level of earmarked reserves will severely reduce the flexibility the Council has in meeting the challenges of scare resources going forward.
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2.7 Members note the significant reduction in the overall school balance at the end of 2016/17 and supports the continuing work with schools to ensure that the Council's Fairer Funding scheme requirements are met and that the overall schools balance remains positive in 2017/18.

## 3. MONITORING ANALYSIS

### 3.1 Revenue Position

3.1.1 Revenue budget monitoring information for each directorate's directly managed budgets is provided together with information on corporate areas.

### 3.1.2 Responsible Financial Officer's Summary of Overall Position Period 4 : Final outturn

Table 1: Council Fund 2016/17 Outturn Forecast Summary Statement at Period 4 (Outturn)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Service Area \& Budget Reported @ Month 9 \& Budget Virements \& Revisions made during Period 4 \(£^{\prime} 000\) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Revised \\
Annual \\
Budget \\
@ \\
Outturn \\
\(£^{\prime} 000\)
\end{tabular} \& Outturn

£ \& | Forecast Over/ |
| :--- |
| (Under) @ Outturn $£^{\prime} 000$ | \& Equivalent Forecast Over/(Under) @ month 9

\[
£^{\prime} 000

\] \& | Variance in Forecast since month 9 |
| :--- |
| $£^{\prime} 000$ | <br>

\hline Adult Services \& 7016 \& 93 \& 7109 \& 6929 \& -180 \& -100 \& -80 <br>
\hline Children Services \& 9804 \& -39 \& 9765 \& 10338 \& 573 \& 584 \& -11 <br>
\hline Community Care \& 20624 \& 1 \& 20625 \& 21413 \& 788 \& 594 \& 194 <br>
\hline Commissioning \& 1543 \& 0 \& 1543 \& 1427 \& -116 \& -93 \& -23 <br>
\hline Partnerships \& 347 \& 0 \& 347 \& 398 \& 51 \& 0 \& 51 <br>
\hline Public Protection \& 1460 \& 0 \& 1460 \& 1378 \& -82 \& -3 \& -79 <br>
\hline Resources \& Performance \& 911 \& -42 \& 869 \& 869 \& 0 \& 3 \& -3 <br>
\hline Total Social Care \& Health \& 41705 \& 13 \& 41718 \& 42752 \& 1034 \& 985 \& 49 <br>
\hline Individual School Budget \& 43224 \& -63 \& 43161 \& 43191 \& 30 \& 34 \& -4 <br>
\hline Resources \& 1508 \& 0 \& 1508 \& 1523 \& 15 \& -4 \& 19 <br>
\hline Standards \& 5066 \& 17 \& 5083 \& 5084 \& 1 \& 140 \& -139 <br>
\hline Total Children \& Young People \& 49798 \& -46 \& 49752 \& 49798 \& 46 \& 170 \& -124 <br>
\hline Business Growth \& Enterprise \& 1028 \& -214 \& 814 \& 801 \& -13 \& 25 \& -38 <br>
\hline Planning \& Housing \& 1362 \& 0 \& 1362 \& 1151 \& -211 \& -180 \& -31 <br>
\hline Tourism Life \& Culture \& 2902 \& 80 \& 2982 \& 3424 \& 442 \& 448 \& -6 <br>
\hline Total Enterprise \& 5292 \& -134 \& 5158 \& 5376 \& 218 \& 293 \& -75 <br>
\hline Governance, Engagement \& Improvement \& 4620 \& -21 \& 4599 \& 4502 \& -97 \& 22 \& -119 <br>
\hline Legal \& Land Charges \& 448 \& 0 \& 448 \& 401 \& -47 \& -30 \& -17 <br>
\hline Operations \& 16922 \& -126 \& 16796 \& 16425 \& -371 \& -152 \& -219 <br>
\hline Total Chief Executives Unit \& 21990 \& -147 \& 21843 \& 21328 \& -515 \& -160 \& -355 <br>
\hline Finance \& 2242 \& \& 2242 \& 2011 \& -231 \& -101 \& -130 <br>
\hline Information Communication Technology \& 2371 \& -89 \& 2282 \& 2328 \& 46 \& -1 \& 47 <br>
\hline People \& 1463 \& 0 \& 1463 \& 1505 \& 42 \& 100 \& -58 <br>
\hline Place \& -722 \& 42 \& -680 \& -576 \& 104 \& 210 \& -106 <br>

\hline Total Resources \& 5354 \& \& $$
g e^{53\}}
$$ \& 5268 \& -39 \& 208 \& -247 <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

| Precepts and Levies | 16484 | 0 | 16484 | 16488 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coroners | 80 | 0 | 80 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 0 |
| Gwent Joint Records | 183 | 0 | 183 | 182 | -1 | 0 | -1 |
| Corporate Management (CM) | 186 | 0 | 186 | 553 | 367 | 475 | -108 |
| Non Distributed Costs (NDC) | 726 | 0 | 726 | 818 | 92 | 108 | -16 |
| Strategic Initiatives | 634 | -146 | 488 | 0 | -488 | -440 | -48 |
| Insurance | 1218 | 0 | 1218 | 1396 | 178 | -74 | 252 |
| Total Corporate Costs \& Levies | 19511 | -146 | 19365 | 19537 | 172 | 93 | 79 |
| Net Cost of Services | 143650 | -507 | 143143 | 144059 | 916 | 1589 | -673 |
| Fixed Asset disposal costs | 95 | -20 | 75 | 75 | 0 | 16 | -16 |
| Interest and Investment Income | -55 | 0 | -55 | -89 | -34 | -18 | -16 |
| Interest payable \& Similar Charges | 3496 | 2 | 3498 | 2923 | -575 | -555 | -20 |
| Charges required under regulation | 3427 | 62 | 3489 | 3373 | -116 | -54 | -62 |
| Contributions to Reserves | 105 | 0 | 105 | 318 | 213 | 3 | 210 |
| Contributions from Reserves | -2017 | 352 | -1665 | -1880 | -215 | 0 | -215 |
| Capital Expenditure funded by revenue contribution |  | 109 | 109 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Appropriations | 5051 | 505 | 5556 | 4829 | -727 | -608 | -119 |
| General Government Grants | -63567 | 0 | -63567 | -63567 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Non Domestic rates | -27981 | 0 | -27981 | -27981 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Council Tax | -63411 | 0 | -63411 | -64076 | -665 | -650 | -15 |
| Council Tax Benefits Support | 6258 | 0 | 6258 | 5852 | -406 | -409 | 3 |
| Financing | -148701 | 0 | -148701 | -149772 | -1071 | -1059 | -12 |
| Budgeted contribution from Council Fund | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | -2 | -2 | 0 |
| Net Council Fund (Surplus) / Deficit | 2 | -2 | 0 | -884 | -884 | -79 | -805 |

3.1.3 The bottom line situation of a $£ 884 \mathrm{k}$ underspend is an improved position since month 9 and is caused mainly by the reduction in overspend exhibited in net cost of services. The overspend in net cost of services is offset by underspends in treasury caused by the continuing cash flow effect of Minimum revenue provision changes, delays in fixed asset disposal, an excess of interest receivable and reduced cost of interest payable, caused ultimately by the levels of capital slippage and underspends at end of year not forecast as necessary by service managers earlier in the year. A surplus on council tax collection has been maintained as forecast through the year along with a reduction in the demand for Council Tax Benefits which has also helped with the overall position.
3.1.4 Whilst any overall underspend is a pleasing result for the year, the outturn variance may seem a big amount. Putting the outturn variance in context, it is less than $0.6 \%$ variation against the net cost budget before financing. That is an incredibly close correlation given the volume of budget holders involved in the process, the volatility in pressures and savings proposals experienced during the year and the need to secure compensatory savings to mitigate adverse positions highlighted earlier in the year. The use of periodic monitoring as a tool for change during the year should not be underestimated.
3.1.5 A comparison of the Net Council fund line against previous years activity indicates the following,

| Net Council Fund <br> Surplus | $2016-17$ | $2015-16$ | $2014-15$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $£^{\prime} 000$ | $£^{\prime} 000$ | $£^{\prime} 000$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Period 1 | 1,511 deficit | 867 deficit | 219 deficit |
| Period 2 | 839 deficit | 1,066 deficit | 116 deficit |
| Period 3 | 79 surplus | 162 deficit | 144 deficit |
| Outturn | 884 surplus | 579 surplus | 327 |

### 3.1.6 Redundancy costs

Members are commonly keen to understand the extent of an redundancy payments made during the year, as staffing remains the most significant and controllable expense to most service areas. As a change from previous years, as part of the 2016-17 budget process, members may recall establishing a corporate revenue budget to mitigate the volatile effect on reserves, similar to the $£ 300 \mathrm{k}$ budget held within CYP Directorate for schools redundancies.

| Directorate | Service | Redundancy Costs 2016-17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enterprise | Leisure | 34,566 |
| Chief Executives | Highways \& Traffic | 27,924 |
|  | Catering | 9,295 |
|  | Community Education | 2,797 |
|  | Community Hubs | 3,821 |
|  | Sub total | 43,837 |
| Social Care \& Health | Children's Services | 68,124 |
|  | CLD team | 1,933 |
|  | Community Care | 2,230 |
|  | Day Centres | 1,781 |
|  | Direct Care | 135,481 |
|  | Public Health | 8,164 |
|  | Sub total | 217,713 |
| Children \& Young | Schools | 282,692 |
|  | Early Years | 18,202 |
|  | Sub total | 300,894 |
| Total |  | 597,010 |

3.1.7 Given the financial challenges that will continue to face the Authority for the foreseeable future, Chief Officers continue to be tasked with ensuring that services live within the budgets and savings targets set for the current financial year.
3.1.8 A summary of main pressures and under spends within the Net Cost of Services Directorates include,

### 3.1.9 Stronger Communities Select Portfolio ( $£ 2,180 \mathrm{k}$ net underspend)

- $\quad$ Chief Executives Unit ( $£ 515 k$ underspend)

Legal division exhibited a £47k surplus, due to staffing savings and windfall land charges grant. Governance, engagement and improvement exhibited a favourable swing of $£ 118 \mathrm{k}$ between month 9 and outturn to end the year with a £96k surplus, largely the consequence of not having to return utilised grant from previous years, new members IT needs falling into 2017-18, and a conscious effort at Community Hubs to reduce expenditure to compensate for the loss in franchise income being felt in Community Education. Operations exhibited an improvement of $£ 219 \mathrm{k}$ on month 9 position to end the year at a combined £371k surplus. The position for each of main Operations areas is as follows, Highways £16k deficit, Property and Procurement £182k surplus (importantly the service has resolved the large deficit in schools catering it received from CYP Directorate when the service moved), Passenger Transport £72k deficit, Transport administration £27k surplus, Waste and Streetscene £249k surplus..

## - Resources Directorate (£39k underspend)

An underspend in Finance Division costs of £231k, predominantly predicted savings in housing benefit and council tax administration. IT shows a worsening position since month 9 ending the year as a $£ 45 \mathrm{k}$ deficit, the effect of a previous presumption to develop and sell software not being compensated by alternate savings identified by the service. People services exhibited £43k deficit due to the delays with implementing the Training budget mandate ( $£ 50 \mathrm{k}$ ) and take up of Flexible benefits being negligible against a target saving mandate of $£ 50 \mathrm{k}$. Place division ended the year an improved deficit of $£ 104 \mathbf{k}$, still affected by shortfalls against income targets, $£ 47 \mathrm{k}$ overspend in respect of Markets, a mixture of increased costs in association with Borough Theatre, and a shortfall in income against income targets. The remainder $£ 75 \mathrm{k}$ is a result of an unachievable mandate for the commercialisation/optimising of Enterprise Assets that has recently transferred to the new Directorate. This pressure has been identified and built into 2017-18 budget proposals going forward.

## - Corporate (£172k overspend)

There is an excess of net pension strain costs ( $£ 108 \mathrm{k}$ ) caused by past and current redundancy decisions. Corporate management exhibits a £475k overspend caused predominantly by a shortfall in reimbursement in rating appeals forecast as $£ 140 \mathrm{k}$ deficit, miscellaneous write off of unrecoverable debts $£ 47 \mathrm{k}, £ 318 \mathrm{k}$ of employment tribunal settlement costs, and coroner costs being higher than budgeted ( $£ 20 \mathrm{k}$ overspend). These costs are offset by the underspend within Strategic initiatives of $£ 487 \mathrm{k}$, which reflects Living wage and redundancy budget, where instead the costs sit in individual Directorates through the year, to be absorbed/mitigated in first instance. The main change since month 9 is an increase in Insurance outturn costs which exhibits $£ 178 \mathrm{k}$ deficit at end of year. This is caused by the level of provisions needing to be set aside in the insurance reserve to compensate for the extent of significant claims received during the year and the settlement costs experienced in managing an imprest in respect of historic MMI claims on an all Gwent basis.

- Appropriations (£727k underspend)

Caused predominantly by a net £609k saving in treasury/borrowing costs from active treasury management and utilising recurrent short term borrowing as an alternative to taking out more expensive long term borrowing. Net borrowing costs are also favourably affected by any delay in the timing of expenditure, capital slippage and capital underspends where it avoids borrowing presumed. The remainder is an exhibitprageeficiol effect to minimum revenue provision payments.

- $\quad$ Financing ( $£ 1,071 \mathrm{k}$ underspend)

The net effect from an excess of Council tax receipts and less than anticipated Council tax benefit payments

## RESOURCES DIRECTOR CONTEXT \& COMMENTARY

I am delighted to see the directorate achieve an under spend position at the end of the year having struggled throughout the year to contain pressures resulting primarily from budget savings that were identified as being unachievable. These pressures have impacted significantly on the outturn position but have been offset as a result of efforts made across the directorate to contain and limit expenditure. One-off savings were achieved as a result of one-off grant and income in housing benefits and strategic property management and cemeteries. The directorate has also benefitted from vacancy savings resulting from delays in restructuring. Moving into 2017/18 the directorate has benefitted from unachievable budget savings from the current year being removed. That said 2017/18 will still be a challenging year as the directorate looks to delivers its savings plans and continues to support the organisation at large with its agenda for change.

## HEAD OF OPERATIONS CONTEXT \& COMMENTARY

The Operations department returned an underspend of $£ 371,000$ contribution towards the Council's overall out turn position.
The department provides a wide range of 'public' services often affected by customer demand, contractual relationships, materials/fuel costs and service demands determined for example by matters such as weather, litter, road conditions etc.
Given the inherent volatility in some aspects of service costs along with further budget reductions in 2016/17 the out turn is pleasing although the variation from the month 9 forecast in acknowledged and officers will endeavour in 17/18 to improve the projections in year. Some of the major service issues affecting out turn are mentioned below:
The mild winter with less freezing conditions and no major snow fall allowed Highways operations to return an underspend, obviously the out turn each year is affected by weather conditions so these are difficult to forecast. Similarly property maintenance returned an underspend again aided by a mild and relatively storm free winter period.
Passenger Transport suffered significant sickness absence costs. Working with HR colleagues has seen this position improve dramatically in the latter part of the year but obviously cover has to be found to ensure services are provided so costs are inevitably incurred for overtime or extra staff. Waste and Street scene predicted an underspend early on due to the structure of annuity payments from WG for to Prosiect Gwyrrd, low take up of the occupational pension scheme (superann) and tonnages down on projections.

### 3.1.10 Economy \& development Select Portfolio (£136k net overspend)

- Enterprise Directorate ( $£ 218 \mathrm{k}$ net overspend)

Business growth and enterprise incurred a net $£ 14 \mathbf{k}$ underspend, the net effect of RDP grant funded expenditure being deferred. The effect of this is transferred to reserves through Appropriations.

Planning \& Housing (£210k underspend) - Development control exhibits a deficit of £38k through reduced development and income activity, conversely development plans area exhibits £203k surplus, which is rather artificial as the saving is largely resultant from Local development plan (LDP) costs being deferred which will instagefestilise next year (hence the reserve movement
through Appropriations). Housing exhibits a £45k underspend which is a mixture of net one off grant received in homelessness, an excess of income in lodging scheme being above occupation assumptions, a premise cost savings in no longer sharing accommodation with a neighbour authority and minor staff savings.

Tourism, leisure \& culture ( $£ 443 \mathrm{k}$ overspend) - Countryside exhibit an underspend of $£ 20 \mathrm{k}$ from part vacancy and extra grant funding. There is a $£ 311 \mathrm{k}$ overspend in respect of Cultural services, of which the main pressures are Caldicot Castle ( $£ 176 k$ ), Old Station (47k), Shire Hall ( $£ 18 \mathrm{k}$ ) and Museums (47k). There is $£ 15 \mathrm{k}$ overspend against the budgeted management agreement with Borough Theatre. Leisure services ended the year with a small $£ 6 \mathrm{k}$ surplus, which is a particularly good result given senior colleagues involved with Alternate Delivery Model considerations. Events exhibits a £50k deficit against its budgeted surplus, although has mitigated the loss forecast at month 9 by £16k through its recharges to Caldicot Castle. Tourist Information Centres indicate a £62k overspend due the service being run above the level presumed by saving levels volunteered by the Directorate for this service. Youth services are a recent addition to Enterprise Directorate, and have been absorbed in part between Business Growth and Enterprise sub division and Tourism Leisure and Culture subdivision. It was received from CYP with a significant inherent deficit and whilst there is still a $£ 45 \mathrm{k}$ deficit, the service does exhibit a collective improvement of $£ 102 \mathrm{k}$ since month 6 .

- Social Care \& Health (£82k underspend)

Public Protection (£82k underspend) - predominantly an excess of wedding deposits received by registrars ( $£ 37 \mathrm{k}$ ), and the net fees \& charges within Public Health service ( $£ 41 \mathrm{k}$ ).

## ENTERPRISE DIRECTOR'S CONTEXT \& COMMENTARY

The outturn position reflects the continued pressures in the very area of the service that is currently under consideration for alternative means of delivery - Leisure, Tourism and Culture. Whilst aspects of this remain competitive and strong in generating revenue returns such as Leisure and Outdoor Education, there are parts of the service that don't have the same opportunities to generate income to similar levels purely on account of their scope and function. If these services are to be preserved in future given the wider value and returns they generate to the county, there is a core cost attached to this, which must be considered as part of future delivery expectations.

### 3.1.11 Adult Select Portfolio (net £492k overspend)

- Social Care \& Health

Adult Services ( $£ 180 \mathrm{k}$ underspent) - the net effect of secondments and intermediate care funding sustaining services, a saving of $£ 119 \mathrm{k}$ has resulted from the My Day review and the effect of superannuation savings, which compensates for the £91k net overspend in Direct Care due to additional staff costs.

Community Care (£788k overspend) - this area has now overtaken Children’s Services as the most significant financial challenge affecting Social Care Directorate. The net pressure is reported due to 2 cases administered by Community Learning Disability team incurring $£ 348 \mathrm{k}$ overspend, the continued care package demands predominantly within the Chepstow team and Mental Health Care team, which collectively result in $£ 1$ million deficit. These are compensated in part by savings within the other 2 teams ( $£ 199 k$ ), reported Frailty partnership cost underspends ( $£ 126 \mathrm{k}$ ) and net Independent Living and Intermediate Care funding total collectively £251k.

Commissioning ( $£ 116$ underspend) - predominantly a savings within Drybridge Gardens service area caused by a refund on the last 3 years management agreement and a vacant unit at the site, and a return of historic un-utilised funding from a third party.

## SCH DIRECTOR'S CONTEXT \& COMMENTARY

Overall the Social Care and Health outturn was an overspend of $£ 1.034$ million. This includes an overspend $£ 51 \mathrm{k}$ for the Monmouthshire/ Torfaen Youth Offending Services which was a planned position to be met from service's reserves whilst changes to the staffing model are implemented. The considerable pressures reported throughout the year in adult and children's social services were reflected in the year end position. Children’s Social Services reported an overspend of $£ 572 \mathrm{~K}$ as a consequence of pressures in placements for Looked After Children legal costs, agency workforce. These pressures were recognised in the 2017/18 budget and activity in all 3 areas is being taken forward through the Children's Services Improvement programme. Adult Social Services outturn was an overspend of $£ 492 \mathrm{~K}$. The overspend arose as a consequence of not achieving the practice change mandate, which exposed underlying pressures in older adult mental health services and the Chepstow Integrated Team arising from demographic pressures and pressures within the social care market. Again, these pressures have been reflected in the 2017/18 budget and a significant piece of work is underway to try and put commissioning of care at home on a sustainable footing. The overall Social Care and Health position was supported by an underspend of $£ 81 \mathrm{k}$ in public protection, due to increased income generation from wedding deposits and a redesigned charging rate schedule.

### 3.1.12 Children \& Young People Select Portfolio (net £670k overspend)

- $\quad$ Social Care \& Health (£623k adverse)

Children’s Services (net £573k overspend) - this is now no longer the greatest financial challenge within Social care. Encouragingly the direct child care cost element only exhibits £64k deficit. Conversely staffing team costs still exhibits $£ 508 \mathrm{k}$ adverse situation, mainly described by the service as being caused by the continuing use of agency staff.

Youth offending team partnership (£51k deficit) - whilst this shows as an overspend as part of the management accounting spreadsheets, this service is a partnership administered by the Council on behalf of itself and others and the deficit balance is transferred through Appropriations to a ringfenced reserve.

- Children and Young People (net £46k overspend)

School Budget Funding exhibited a $£ 30 k$ overspend due to additional support provided by LEA in respect of staffing and IT charges. There is an overspend of $£ 16 \mathbf{k}$ within the Resources subdivision caused by net interim management arrangements. Standards subdivision exhibits a breakeven position which hides some large movements, with £63k savings in ALN management and $£ 40 \mathrm{k}$ savings in EAS costs compensating for the net direct additional learning needs costs of £104k.

## SOCIAL CARE \& HEALTH DIRECTOR'S CONTEXT \&COMMENTARY

Overall the Social Care and Health outturn was an overspend of $£ 1.034$ million. This includes an overspend $£ 51 \mathrm{k}$ for the Monmouthshire/ Torfaen Youth Offending Services which was a planned position to be met from service's reserves whilst changes to the staffing model are implemented. The considerable pressures reported throughout the year in adult and children's social services were reflected in the year end position. Children’s Social Services reported an overspend of $£ 572 \mathrm{~K}$ as a consequence of pressures in placements for Looked After Children legal costs, agency workforce. These pressures were recognised in the 2017/18 budget and activity in all 3 areas is being taken forward through the Children'\$qgiee 7 Tmprovement programme. Adult Social

Services outturn was an overspend of $£ 492 \mathrm{~K}$. The overspend arose as a consequence of not achieving the practice change mandate, which exposed underlying pressures in older adult mental health services and the Chepstow Integrated Team arising from demographic pressures and pressures within the social care market. Again, these pressures have been reflected in the 2017/18 budget and a significant piece of work is underway to try and put commissioning of care at home on a sustainable footing. The overall Social Care and Health position was supported by an underspend of $£ 81 \mathrm{k}$ in public protection, due to increased income generation from wedding deposits and a redesigned charging rate schedule.

## CHILDREN \& YOUNG PEOPLE DIRECTOR'S CONTEXT \& COMMENTARY

The Children and Young People's Directorate has worked very hard to close the year with a small overspend. This is an excellent outcome set against a challenging year where there has been interim management arrangements in place within the directorate and the ongoing pressures to meet the needs of children throughout the County.
The Directorate's outturn position is an overspend of $£ 46,000$; this is an improvement of $£ 124,000$ compared to Month 9. The primary factor is ongoing pressure on the Additional Learning Needs (ALN) budget. This area, in particular, remains under significant pressure and subject to volatility due to the requirement to support an increasing number of our pupils with complex needs.
Outside of the retained directorate budget the largest area of spend is within the Individual School Budgets (ISBs). Whilst the outturn position is broadly in line with budget this masks the considerable pressure that schools have experienced in existing with their allocated budget. There has been a call upon school reserves in year of $£ 887,000$ leaving schools with year end balances of $£ 268,000$. Within this figure there is a range of deficits and surpluses and the situation is challenging for many of our schools

## $3.2 \quad$ 2016/17 Savings Progress

3.2.1 This section monitors the specific savings initiatives and the progress made in delivering them in full by the end of 2016/17 financial year as part of the MTFP budgeting process.

In summary they are as follows,

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DIRECTORATE | Saving <br> included <br> in 2016/17 <br> Budget <br>  <br> $£^{\prime} 000$ | Savings reported achieved month 2 $£^{\prime} 000$ | Savings reported achieved month 6 $£^{\prime} 000$ | Savings reported achieved month 9 $£^{\prime} 000$ | Savings reported achieved <br> @ outturn $£^{\prime} 000$ | Percentage progress in achieving savings \% | Delayed savings <br> £'000 | Savings not achievable <br> $£^{\prime} 000$ |
| Children \& Young People | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 100\% | 0 | 0 |
| Social Care \& Health | 640 | 640 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 2\% | 0 | 628 |
| Enterprise | 310 | 285 | 285 | 245 | 245 | 79\% | 40 | 25 |
| Resources | 544 | 318 | 299 | 260 | 120 | 22\% | 215 | 209 |
| Chief Executive's | 1,565 | 1,442 | 1,442 | 1,437 | 1,437 | 92\% | 85 | 43 |
| Total Mandated Service Savings 2016-17 | 3,659 | 3,285 | 2,638 | 2,554 | 2,414 | 66\% | 340 | 905 |

There has been 1 change identified by services since month 9 reporting, relating from the delay in our rating appeals being considered by Valuation Office.
3.2.2 Forecasted mandated savings are currently running at $66 \%$, down from $70 \%$ at period 3 , with currently $£ 095,000$ being deemed unachievable, and a further $£ 340,000$ unlikely to crystallise in 2016-17.
3.2.3 The emphasis of reporting savings has changed from previously where savings were reported when they were manifest, however the judgement is now whether saving is forecast to be achieved.
3.2.4 Consequently the savings appendix also has a traffic light system to indicate whether savings are likely to be achieved or have justifiable reasons explaining delayed implementation. The following summary of savings mandates are still reported to be red or amber risk.

### 3.2.5 Stronger Communities Select Portfolio

## Resources Directorate

- Mandate A5: Sustainable Energy Initiatives: Expected income targets of $£ 34,000$ are unachievable, alternative delivery plan of increased income on property rental portfolio and reduced expenditure on repairs and maintenance proposed
- Mandate B3: Training Services Consolidation: Consolidation of authorities existing training functions and increased revenue streams of $£ 50,000$ are unachievable. Alternative delivery plans are being considered.
- Mandate B5a: Community Asset Transfer £60,000: MCC still in discussions over transfer of Chepstow Drill Hall and Melville Theatre. $£ 45,000$ of the $£ 60,000$ savings contained within the mandate are forecast to be achieved.
- Mandate B5b: Optimisation/commercialisation of assets within Enterprise Division. This mandate was originally wholly with Enterprise Directorate. Responsibility for $£ 75 \mathrm{k}$ of $£ 100 \mathrm{k}$ was transferred to Resources Directorate recently, but is unlikely to be achieved this close to year end. The mandate has been regarded as unachievable longer term and alongside others is an element of pressures to be accommodated as part of 2017-18 budget process
- Mandate B16: Flexible Employment Options $£ 50,000$ : Scheme exhibits little demand amongst staff.
- Mandate B17: Rating appeals $£ 140,000$. Appeals have been lodged with Valuation Office, but due to demands in producing a revised business rate listing a backlog in appeals cases being heard has resulted. It is anticipated the appeals the Council have submitted will be heard during 2017-18
- Mandate B18: Strategic Property Review: $£ 21,000$ shortfall identified as a failure to achieve Residential Letting Income and the Depot Rationalisation Programme which will take longer than expected.

Chief Executive's Office

- Mandate B11: Senior Leadership Structure Review: Currently $£ 42,700$ of the $£ 315,000$ mandated savings still to be found. Current structures under review in regard to achieving this further saving.
- Mandate 21: Town \& Community Councils: The mandate is currently $£ 60,000$ short of the $£ 400,000$ in regard to the service collaboration for Tourism ( $£ 20 \mathrm{k}$ ), Museums ( $£ 20 \mathrm{k}$ ), and Public Conveniences (£20k).
- Mandate A28: Community Hubs: In a change from month 6, the original saving proposal $£ 25,000$ will not be achieved in the fashion original presumed by Contact Centre but the Community Hub service overall is still anticipated to break even against budget.
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### 3.2.6 Economy \& Development Select Portfolio

Enterprise (ENT) Directorate

- Mandate B5 b and c: Community Asset Transfer / Income Generation £25,000 of the original $£ 100,000$ relates to revised Leisure income targets. The remaining improvements from optimisation of assets has transferred to Resources Directorate. Neither element is forecast to be achieved this year, and has been added to the pressures for consideration as part of 2017-18 budget process.


### 3.2.7 Adult Select Portfolio

## Social Care \& Health (SCH) Directorate

- Mandate A34. Whilst current year savings were anticipated to be delivered in full at period 1, a revised overspend particularly with Community Care arm of Social Care of $£ 888,000$ currently, makes it unlikely to conclude that the practice change mandate of $£ 628,000$ is deliverable this financial year.


### 3.2.8 Children and Young People Select Portfolio

## Children and Young People (CYP) Directorate

- Current year savings are anticipated to be delivered in full.


### 3.3. Capital Position

3.3.1 The summary Capital position at Outturn is as follows

| MCC CAPITAL BUDGET MONITORING 2016-17 AT Outturn by SELECT COMMITTEE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SELECT } \\ \text { PORTFOLIO } \end{gathered}$ | Actual Spend at Outturn | Slippage Brought Forward | Total Approved Budget 2016/17 | Capital Slippage to $2017 / 18$ | Revised Capital Budget 2016/17 | Capital Expenditure Variance |
|  | £000 | $£ 000$ | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 |
| Children \& Young | 27,792 | 39,731 | 43,207 | $(15,302)$ | 27,906 | (114) |
| Adult | 79 | 30 | 86 | 0 | 86 | (7) |
| Economic \& Development | 4,314 | 680 | 5,280 | (966) | 4,314 | 0 |
| Strong Communities | 7,847 | 3,243 | 9,777 | $(1,100)$ | 8,677 | (830) |
| Capital Schemes Total | 40,031 | 43,684 | 58,350 | $(17,368)$ | 40,982 | (951) |

## Slippage to 2017-18

3.3.2 Total Slippage at Outturn is ( $£ 17,367 \mathrm{k}$ ), which mainly relates to Future Schools ( $£ 14,909 \mathrm{k}$ ), $£ 522 \mathrm{k}$ within individual S106 schemes, the Solar Farm ( $£ 505 \mathrm{k}$ ), $£ 351 \mathrm{k}$ within Schools ICT, Bryngwyn cattle market sewage works at $£ 183 \mathrm{k}$ and a further $£ 152 \mathrm{k}$ in relation to Granville Street / Wyebridge Street car parks.
3.3.3 In comparison with quarter 3 service managers collectively identified slippage levels of $£ 12.4 \mathrm{~m}$, necessitating $£ 25 \mathrm{~m}$ actual expenditure in last quarter. Whilst that level of spend hasn’t been achieved the extent of slippage is roughly half the level of 2015-16 levels, which is encouraging given the motivations and communications made to reduce in year slippage.
3.3.4 This will be the third year that a more detailed examination of slippage requests is made based upon the principles established previously i.e.

- whether there has been little or no progress in previous 12 month,
- the level of expenditure incurred this year has been less that in year budget and slippage b/fwd., to consider any opportunity to realign the budget to more realistic levels or reprofile budget more accurately over multiple years,
- or where there are identified problems/barriers to progress e.g. no agreement over scheme, archaeological considerations, planning considerations not yet satisfied or where the manager hasn't clearly evidenced why this shomedegelidflage in the request made.
3.3.5 The capital monitoring tends to be used by Members as a progress/performance tool. Examination of slippage requests suggest capital projects continue to be put into current year when there is little likelihood of being progressed. It is recommended that any report advocating to Council additional capital expenditure needs to highlight accurately which year the spend relates to so it can be profiled into the correct capital programme. Similarly with Property maintenance the slippage narratives indicate work can only proceed at certain times of the year. Where that hasn't occurred it would be sensible to reallocate the budget to an alternate priority and reintroduce in the following year out of the next year's allocation.
3.3.6 Appendix 2 indicates the extent of capital slippage requests received, which have been categorised into those proposed to Members for endorsement and those not. This year, in support of better considering the correct year for slipping approved expenditure into, a third column has been added to the table, to indicate those schemes where slippage is endorsed but where the year involved is still to be confirmed. The presumption being to add them back into those years at the slippage level indicated without a need for additional Council report.

|  |  | $£^{\prime} 000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| Extent of Slippage Requested |  | 17,730 |
|  |  | 17,317 |
| Endorsed to be added to 2017-18 capital programme |  | 215 |
| Endorsed to be added to a future capital programme |  | 198 |
| Rejected for slippage purposes |  |  |
|  |  | 17,730 |
| Total |  |  |

## Capital Outturn

3.3.7 Major revisions to the capital programme within year included Cabinet approvals for the Solar Farm at Oak Grove ( $£ 4,455 \mathrm{~K}$ ) and $£ 600 \mathrm{k}$ for the Public Realm Abergavenny Town Centre scheme other than specific Grant funded or S106 Schemes.
3.3.8 Allowing for the extent of supported slippage requests, outturn capital spending was $£ 40.03$ million against a budget of $£ 40.98$ million, creating an underspend of $£ 951 \mathrm{k}$.
3.3.9 However this is not all available for redistribution. For instance the underspends resulting from not automatically slipping sc 106 scheme balances forward will provide no net saving to the authority and will be returned to sc106 balances for refresh and possible reallocation. Similarly the IT reserve funded schemes not slipped will have those net resources returned to IT reserve and the low cost Home Ownership amount will be returned to receipts pool to be available to facilitate future back to back sales as such sales are demand led rather than annualised. Also the underspend in relation to Highways schemes ( $£ 376 \mathrm{k}$ ) was earmarked to be funded by grant. So effectively looking at the financing table below, the saving for redistribution can be equated to the unsupported borrowing avoided i.e. £205k.
3.3.10 During the capital budget consultation, a number of other areas were identified where there is a commitment to invest, however the schemes currently sit outside the 2017-18 programme as work progresses to identify the funding requirements. These were:

- Monmouth Pool - commitment to reprovide the pool in Monmouth as a consequence of the Future schools programme
- Abergavenny Hub - commitment to reprovide the library with the One Stop Shop in Abergavenny to conclude the creation of a Hub in each of the towns
- Disabled Facilities Grants - the demand for grants is currently outstripping the budget, work is being undertaken to assess the level of investment required to maximize the impact and benefit for recipients. Subsequently Members agreed to supplement the existing DFG budget by £300k, from 2017-18
- City Deal - 10 Authorities in the Cardiff City region are looking at a potential $£ 1.2$ billion City Deal. Agreement to commit to this prpeydemqig being sought across the region in January
and so would impact on the capital MTFP. The potential impact on individual authority budgets is currently being modelled in advance of decisions on specific projects and profiles in order for authorities to start reflecting the commitment in their MTFPs.
- J and E Block - the office rationalization programme is being considered to see if there is a solution that would enable the Magor and Usk sites to be consolidated, releasing funding to pay for the necessary investment to bring the blocks into use.
3.3.11 Whilst we have added some additional headroom into the Appropriations budget to afford, whether it will be sufficient or not remains to be seen. It is proposed to park further consideration of 2016-17 underspend, until colleagues report back to Council for approval to add to the capital programme.


## Capital Financing and Receipts

3.3.12 Given the anticipated capital spending profile reported in para 3.3.1, the following financing mechanisms are expected to be utilised.

MCC CAPITAL FINANCING BUDGET MONITORING 2016-17 at Outturn By FINANCING
CATEGORY CATEGORY

| CAPITAL FINANCING SCHEME | Annual Financing | Slippage Brought Forward | Total Approved Financing Budget 2016/17 | Provisional Budget Slippage to 2017/18 | Revised Financing Budget 2016/17 | Forecast <br> Capital <br> Financing <br> Variance 2016/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | £000 | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ |
| Supported Borrowing | 2,400 | 0 | 2,400 | (0) | 2,400 | 0 |
| General Capital Grant | 1,461 | 0 | 1,461 | 0 | 1,461 | 0 |
| Grants and Contributions | 11,877 | 16,050 | 17,853 | $(5,628)$ | 12,225 | (348) |
| S106 Contributions | 713 | 880 | 1,407 | (522) | 885 | (172) |
| Unsupported borrowing | 19,738 | 11,553 | 25,605 | $(5,662)$ | 19,943 | (205) |
| Earmarked reserve \& Revenue Funding | 894 | 590 | 1,219 | (302) | 917 | (23) |
| Capital Receipts | 2,948 | 14,500 | 8,292 | $(5,253)$ | 3,039 | (91) |
| Low cost home ownership receipts | 0 | 112 | 112 | 0 | 112 | (112) |
| Unfinanced | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Capital Financing Total | 40,031 | 43,684 | 58,350 | $(17,368)$ | 40,982 | (951) |

## Useable Capital Receipts Available

3.3.13 In the table below, the effect of the changes to the forecast capital receipts on the useable capital receipts balances available to meet future capital commitments is shown. This is also compared to the balances forecast within the 2016/20 MTFP capital budget proposals.

Movement in Available Useable Capital Receipts Forecast

| TOTAL RECEIPTS | $2016 / 17$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 / 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 / 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 / 2 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ | $£ 000$ |
| Balance b/f $1^{\text {st }}$ April | 5,311 | 18,935 | 4,472 | 510 |

Add:

| Receipts received in YTD | 16,568 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Receipts forecast received | 0 | 10,596 | 5,560 | 5,660 |
| Deferred capital receipts | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |

## Less:

| Receipts to be applied | $-2,948$ | $-22,635$ | $-4,471$ | -509 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Set aside | 0 | $-2,428$ | $-5,055$ | $-3,281$ |
| Predicted Year end receipts balance | $\mathbf{1 8 , 9 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 3 8 4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial Planning Assumption 2016/20     <br> MTFP Capital Budget $\mathbf{1 8 , 1 5 1}$ $\mathbf{6 , 4 5 2}$ $\mathbf{3 , 9 8 5}$ $\mathbf{3 , 4 8 1}$ <br>      <br> Increase / (Decrease) compared to <br> MTFP Capital Receipts Forecast $\mathbf{7 8 4}$ $\mathbf{- 1 , 9 8 0}$ $\mathbf{- 3 , 4 7 5}$ $\mathbf{- 1 , 0 9 7}$ |  |  |  |  |

3.3.14 The balances forecast to be held at the $31^{\text {st }}$ March each year are generally lower than forecast in the MTFP, mainly due to the delayed LDP receipts. This difference is eradicated by March 2020 when all the LDP sites are forecast to have been sold. This is offset by the approval of $£ 1,050,000$ of capital receipts to fund the Abergavenny Public Realm scheme which was not part of the 2016/20 MTFP.
3.3.15 Members may recall a need to generate $£ 32 \mathrm{~m}$ receipts to afford their tranche A Future schools aspirations. Those levels aren't yet secured, this isn't a problem per se as the Council has been prioritising the use of Welsh Government funding ahead of its own. Next year capital receipt usage predominantly in regard to Future schools equates to £22m, but ahead of reserve levels and cash balances continue to be used as a source of internal borrowing to mitigate revenue costs within Appropriations budget.
3.3.16 There is an increasingly significant risk to the Council resulting from the need to utilise capital receipts in the same year that they come into the Council. This provides no tolerance or flexibility should the receipts be delayed, which isn't uncommon, and would necessitate compensatory temporary borrowing which is more costly than utilising capital receipts and would necessitate additional revenue savings annually to afford.

### 3.4 Reserves

### 3.4.1 Slippage on Earmarked Reserve funded projects

The following table indicates the extent of budgeted reserve funding utilised by Directorates and that element of approved expenditure they request to be deferred. These include,

| Project | Reserve Source | Reserve <br> funding <br> utilised <br> 2016-17 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | £'000 | £'000 |
| Digital Programme <br> costs | IT transformation | 21 | 40 |
| Handsets (Connected worker) | Invest to Redesign |  | 49 |
| Adult Social Care | Invest to Redesign | 48 |  |
| HR restructure | Invest to Redesign | 25 | 0 |
| Innovation and marketing assistance | Invest to Redesign | 76 | 113 |
| Volunteer coordinator | Invest to Redesign | 40 |  |
| External Investment Post | Invest to Redesign |  | 14 |
| Informing the future of Cultural services | Invest to Redesign | 51 | 0 |
| CYP  <br> redesign services | Invest to Redesign | 12 | 37 |
| City Contribution Deal | Invest to Redesign | 31 |  |
| Local Development Plan | Priority Investment |  | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Regional } \\ & \text { Development Plan } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Priority Investment |  | 63 |
| Eisteddfod | Priority Investment | 287 |  |
| SCH Review Leadership | Priority Investment | 51 | 74 |
| Future Monmouthshire | Priority Investment | 120 | 130 |
| Children's Service <br> Temporary staff <br> Sch | Priority Investment | 169 |  |
| Schools sickness and maternity compensation scheme | CYP sickness and maternity reserve | 10 |  |
| Elections Account | Election Reserve |  | 100 |
| Youth Offending <br> Team Partnership <br> Ren | Trading Account | 51 |  |
| Redundancy Strain Costs | Redundancy \& pension | 593 | 0 |
| Insurance Provisions and MMI costs | Insurance Reserve | 153 |  |
| Fixed asset disposal | Capital receipts generation reserve | 75 | 21 |
| Vehicle leasing | Invest to Save Advances | 67 |  |
| TOTAL | Page | 451,880 | 740 |

3.4.2 The following reserve balances reflects capital and revenue movements during 2016-17 and provide a prediction on 2017-18 year end level based on existing approval levels and slippage levels above.

|  | 2015-16 | Net Draw On Reserve | Replenishment of Reserve | 2016-17 | Net Draw On Reserve | Replenishment of Reserve | 2017-18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B/fwd |  |  | C/fwd |  |  | Outturn prediction |
|  | $£^{\prime} 000$ | $£^{\prime} 000$ | $£^{\prime} 000$ | $£^{\prime} 000$ | $£^{\prime} 000$ | $£^{\prime} 000$ | £'000 |
| General Reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Council Fund | - 7,111 | 0 | 0 | - 7,111 | 0 | 0 | 7,111 |
| School Balances | - 1,156 | 887 | 0 | - 269 |  | 0 | 269 |
| Sub Total | - 8,267 | 887 | - | - 7,380 | - | - | 7,380 |
| Main Earmarked Reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Invest to Redesign | -1,298 | 337 | 0 | -961 | 437 | -135 | -658 |
| IT Transformation | -827 | 199 | -100 | -728 | 169 | 0 | -558 |
| Insurance \& Risk Management | -1,236 | 153 | 0 | -1,083 | 0 | 0 | -1,083 |
| Capital Receipt Regeneration | -322 | 75 | -100 | -348 | 142 | 0 | -205 |
| Treasury Equalisation | -990 | 0 | 0 | -990 | 0 | 0 | -990 |
| Redundancy \& Pensions | -1,274 | 593 | -114 | -795 | 298 | 0 | -497 |
| Capital Investments | -1,265 | 489 | 0 | -776 | 157 | 0 | -618 |
| Priority Investments | -1,120 | 690 | -570 | -1,000 | 1,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Sub Total | -8,333 | 2,535 | -883 | -6,681 | 2,205 | -135 | -4,610 |
| Other Earmarked Reserves |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Museum Acquisitions | -57 | 0 | 0 | -57 | 0 | 0 | -57 |
| Elections | -108 | 0 | -25 | -133 | 100 | -25 | -58 |
| Grass Routes Buses | -140 | 9 | -54 | -184 | 0 | -5 | -189 |
| Youth Offending Team | -325 | 51 | 0 | -274 | 0 | 0 | -274 |
| Building Control Trading | -12 | 0 | -14 | -26 | 0 | 0 | -26 |
| Outdoor Education Centres | -190 | 0 | 0 | -190 | 0 | 0 | -190 |
| Schools Maternity \& Sickness | -104 | 10 | 0 | -94 | 0 | 0 | -94 |
| Highways Plant \& Equipment |  | 0 | -75 | -75 | 0 | 0 | -75 |
| Homelessness Prevention Fund |  | 0 | -5 | -5 | 0 | 0 | -5 |
| Rural Development Plan |  | 0 | -86 | -86 | 86 | 0 | 0 |
| Sub Total | -936 | 71 | -259 | -1,124 | 186 | -30 | -967 |
| Total Reserves | -17,536 | 3,494 | -1,142 | -15,184 | 2,391 | -165 | -12,958 |

3.4.3 Earmarked reserves remain at limited levels unlikely to provide any material capacity/headroom to meet unanticipated volatility or significantly facilitate future service re-engineering and design.

With regard to the allocation of bottom line surplus to replenish reserves, the Sc151 officer's considered advice already indicatively reflected above would be:

Priority Investment Fund
Redundancy \& Pension Reserve
£570k
£114k
IT Transformation Reserve
£100k
Capital Receipts Generation Reserve £100k

Total £884k

Despite the proposed top up, current predicted use of the Priority investment reserve means that it will likely expire by the end of 2017-18 as a funding source.
3.4.4 Given the forecast use of earmarked reserves, Cabinet has previously approved a policy on earmarked reserves to ensure that earmarked reserves are focused on investment in areas where they can achieve most impact.

## Schools Reserves

3.4.5 Each of the Authority's Schools is directly governed by a Board of Governors, which is responsible for managing the school's finances. However, the Authority also holds a key responsibility for monitoring the overall financial performance of schools. The net effect of an individual school's annual surplus or deficit is shown in a ring-fence reserve for the particular school. Details of the 2016-17 outturn position is shown in the table below.

Outturn movement on school reserves

|  | Opening reserves 2016-17 (Surplus)/Deficit | Budgeted reserve draw (based on formal budgets submitted by schools) | Predicted Reserve levels at Year end (period 1) | Actual Year end position at Outturn (Surplus)/ Deficit | Variance against budgeted level (favourable)/ adverse |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abergavenny cluster |  |  |  |  |  |
| E003 King Henry VIII Comprehensive | $(107,368)$ | 241,418 | 134,050 | 139,355 | 5,305 |
| E073 Cantref Primary | $(41,987)$ | 13,373 | $(28,614)$ | $(52,766)$ | $(24,152)$ |
| E072 Deri View Primary | $(86,054)$ | 61,965 | $(24,089)$ | $(27,297)$ | $(3,208)$ |
| E035 Gilwern Jnr \& Inf | $(41,298)$ | 14,114 | $(27,184)$ | $(39,636)$ | $(12,452)$ |
| E037 Goytre Fawr Jnr \& Inf | $(53,920)$ | 33,609 | $(20,311)$ | $(25,371)$ | $(5,060)$ |
| E041 Llanfair Kilgeddin CV Jnr \& Inf | $(66,824)$ | 66,824 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| E093 Llanfoist Fawr | $(93,789)$ | 44,634 | $(49,155)$ | $(68,056)$ | $(18,900)$ |
| E044 Llantillio Pertholey Jnr \& Inf | $(37,176)$ | 42,426 | 5,250 | $(20,967)$ | $(26,217)$ |
| E045 Llanvihangel Crocorney Jnr \& | 23,605 | 5,543 | 29,148 | 3,117 | $(26,031)$ |
| E090 Our Lady and St Michael's RC Primary School | $(30,654)$ | 18,973 | $(11,681)$ | $(45,505)$ | $(33,824)$ |
| E067 Ysgol Gymraeg Y Fenni | $(58,741)$ | 21,073 | $(37,668)$ | $(48,966)$ | $(11,299)$ |
| Successful Futures Grant Funding from EAS | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Caldicot cluster |  |  |  |  |  |
| E001 Caldicot Comprehensive | $(208,860)$ | 203,597 | $(5,263)$ | $(33,736)$ | $(28,473)$ |
| E068 Archbishop Rowan Williams Primary | $(84,489)$ | 68,591 | $(15,898)$ | $(49,657)$ | $(33,759)$ |
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| E094 Castle Park | 21,446 | 23,321 | 44,767 | 46,115 | 1,348 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E075 Dewstow Primary School | $(112,598)$ | 113,117 | 519 | $(90,125)$ | $(90,644)$ |
| E034 Durand Jnr \& Inf | $(60,520)$ | 24,781 | $(35,739)$ | $(53,931)$ | $(18,192)$ |
| E048 Magor Vol Aided Jnr \& Inf | $(56,008)$ | 5,669 | $(50,339)$ | $(35,179)$ | 15,159 |
| E056 Rogiet Jnr \& Inf | $(59,613)$ | 62,602 | 2,988 | $(34,184)$ | $(37,172)$ |
| E063 Undy Jnr \& Inf | $(16,641)$ | $(32,724)$ | $(49,365)$ | 50,037 | 99,402 |
| E069 Ysgol Gymraeg Y Ffin | $(13,168)$ | 59,720 | 46,552 | 67,410 | 20,858 |
| Successful Futures Grant Funding from EAS | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chepstow cluster |  |  |  |  |  |
| E002 Chepstow Comprehensive | 414,066 | $(257,190)$ | 156,876 | 81,068 | $(75,809)$ |
| E091 Pembroke Primary School | $(36,201)$ | 32,718 | $(3,483)$ | $(8,826)$ | $(5,343)$ |
| E057 Shirenewton Jnr \& Inf | $(81,560)$ | 6,152 | $(75,408)$ | $(87,369)$ | $(11,961)$ |
| E058 St Mary's Chepstow RC Jnr \& | $(25,385)$ | 39,403 | 14,018 | 13,192 | (826) |
| E060 The Dell Jnr \& Inf | $(50,266)$ | 45,000 | $(5,266)$ | $(46,094)$ | $(40,828)$ |
| E061 Thornwell Jnr \& Inf | $(2,253)$ | 24,371 | 22,118 | 20,534 | $(1,584)$ |
| E096 Chepstow Alliance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Successful Futures Grant Funding from EAS | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Monmouth cluster |  |  |  |  |  |
| E004 Monmouth Comprehensive | $(45,772)$ | 45,772 | 0 | 100,573 | 100,573 |
| E032 Cross Ash Jnr \& Inf | $(51,269)$ | 26,099 | $(25,170)$ | $(45,620)$ | $(20,451)$ |
| E092 Kymin View Primary School | $(18,774)$ | 32,352 | 13,578 | $(10,294)$ | $(23,872)$ |
| E039 Llandogo Jnr \& Inf | 11,446 | (694) | 10,752 | 9,736 | $(1,016)$ |
| E074 Osbaston Church In Wales | $(37,344)$ | 7,600 | $(29,744)$ | $(18,570)$ | 11,174 |
| Primary <br> E051 Overmonnow Jnr \& Inf | 19,101 | $(39,372)$ | $(20,271)$ | $(3,959)$ | 16,312 |
| E055 Raglan Jnr \& Inf | $(18,369)$ | 14,005 | $(4,364)$ | 111,977 | 116,341 |
| E062 Trellech Jnr \& Inf | $(86,281)$ | 19,535 | $(66,746)$ | $(85,762)$ | $(19,016)$ |
| E064 Usk CV Jnr \& Inf | $(71,295)$ | 41,465 | $(29,830)$ | $(56,108)$ | $(26,278)$ |
| Successful Futures Grant Funding from EAS | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $(1,264,808)$ | 1,129,841 | $(134,967)$ | $(344,862)$ | $(209,895)$ |
| Special Schools |  |  |  |  |  |
| E020 Mounton House | 154,854 | $(50,000)$ | 104,854 | 142,417 | 37,563 |
| E095 Pupil Referral unit | $(46,208)$ | 0 | $(46,208)$ | $(66,340)$ | $(20,132)$ |
|  | 108,646 | $(50,000)$ | 58,646 | 76,077 | 17,431 |
| TOTAL | $(1,156,163)$ | 1,079,841 | $(76,322)$ | $(268,786)$ | $(192,464)$ |
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3.4.6 6 schools exhibited a deficit position at the start of 2016/17. Throughout the year this was anticipated to rise to 12 by end of 2016-17. Members had previously queried that prediction based on the level of past adhoc grant receipts received late in the year which had the effect of supplementing year end balances and mitigating past finance concerns about the declining extent of balances and lack of replenishment.

Unfortunately those additional grant receipts haven't been manifest in this last quarter such that the earlier forecast provided has proved accurate at outturn with 12 schools being in deficit.
3.4.7 Collectively school balances at the beginning of the financial year amounted to $£ 1,156,000$, given the year end position the following pattern is apparent.

| Financial Year-end | Net level of School Balances |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2011-12$ | $(965)$ |
| $2012-13$ | $(1,240)$ |
| $2013-14$ | $(988)$ |
| $2014-15$ | $(1,140)$ |
| $2015-16$ | $(1,156)$ |
| $2016-17$ | $(269)$ |

Collectively schools have utilised £887k from their reserves this year, which is actually £192k less than originally budgeted, such that the collective outturn reserve is $£ 287 \mathrm{k}$, rather than $£ 76 \mathrm{k}$ surplus reported at month 9 .
3.4.8 Fairer Funding Regulations adopted by Councils and Governing Bodies commonly preclude governing bodies from planning for a deficit position. This was changed last year in MCC to allow licensed deficits where a recovery plan is agreed and followed. This flexibility only extended as far as there being a collective schools reserve surplus i.e.
"There is an arrangement in place whereby schools are allowed to plan for a deficit budget funded by a collective surplus of school balances held by the authority on behalf of schools."
3.4.9 It remains unlikely that the collective level of reserves will sustain the traditional annual draw by schools on reserves in recent years, which will add additional focus to addressing the need to remain within budget in 2017/18 as part of Governors formal section 52 budgets rather than passporting the consequences to their reserves, given that flexibility is now pretty much exhausted.

## 4 REASONS

4.1 To improve budget monitoring and forecasting information being provided to Senior Officers and Members.

## 5 RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

5.1 As contained in the report.

## 6 EQUALITY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IMPLICATIONS

6.1 The decisions highlighted in this report have no equality and sustainability implications.
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## Appendix 1

Budgeted Service Savings Mandates Progress 2016/17

| DIRECTORATE | Saving included in 2016/17 Budget $£^{\prime} 000$ | Savings reported achieved month 2 £'000 | Savings reported achieved month 6 £'000 | Savings reported achieved month 9 £'000 | Savings reported achieved @ outturn £'000 | Percentage progress in achieving savings \% | Delayed savings $£^{\prime} 000$ | Savings not achievable $£^{\prime} 000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children \& Young People | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 100\% | 0 | 0 |
| Social Care \& Health | 640 | 640 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 2\% | 0 | 628 |
| Enterprise | 310 | 285 | 285 | 245 | 245 | 79\% | 40 | 25 |
| Resources | 544 | 318 | 299 | 260 | 120 | 22\% | 215 | 209 |
| Chief Executive's | 1,565 | 1,442 | 1,442 | 1,437 | 1,437 | 92\% | 85 | 43 |
| fotal Mandated cervice Savings 2016-17 | 3,659 | 3,285 | 2,638 | 2,554 | 2,414 | 66\% | 340 | 905 |

## 2016/17 Budgeted Service Savings Mandates Progress

| CHILDREN \& YOUNG PEOPLE |  |  | Saving included in 2016/17 Budget £'s | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 2 £S | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 6 £'s | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 9 £'s | Value of Saving achieved at Outturn £'s | Delayed savings <br> £'s | Savings not achievable £'s |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Proposals 2016/17 | Mandate No. | Savings <br> Mandate <br> Narrative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Assessment of progress | Progress Indicator |
| Gwent Music (Nicki Wellington) | A20 | Gwent Music is a joint service hosted by Newport. The plan is to refocus the service to make them more efficient. | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | - | - | On track and expected to be fully met |  |
| Phase 3 of Additional Learning Needs Review (Sharon Randall-Smith) | B20 | Closure off Deri View SNRB (£50k), Placement costs for External pupils attending Mounton House (£250k). Implementation of new funding formula from April 2016. (£250k). | 550,000 | 550,000 | 550,000 | 550,000 | 550,000 | - | - | On track and expected to be fully met |  |
|  |  |  | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 0 |  |  |  |

## 2016/17 Budgeted Service Savings Mandates Progress

| SOCIAL CARE \& HEALTH |  |  | Saving <br> included in <br> $2016 / 17$ <br> Budget <br> £'s | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 2 £s | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 6 £'s | Value ofSavingForecast atMonth 9£'s | Value of Saving achieved at Outturn £'s | Delayed savings£'s | Savings not achievable$£^{\prime} s$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Proposals 2016/17 | Mandate No. | Savings <br> Mandate <br> Narrative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Assessment of progress | Progress Indicator |
| Transition - Bright New Futures (SCH) <br> (Julie Boothroyd) | A24 | In 2014 we combined our Transitions Project Team within Bright New Futures Project. (based in Bridges) | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 0 | 0 | Fully met the mandate at year end |  |
| Adult Social Care Transformation (Julie Boothroyd) | A34 | The service is continuing its journey on practice change and restructuring itself to meet future mandate savings with community links and innovative approaches to domiciliary care, coupled with less reliance on admissions to residential care. | 628,000 | 628,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 628,000 | This mandate relates to Community Care which, at year end, had an overspend of $£ 788 \mathrm{~K}$. This mandate target has been reduced down to $£ 200 \mathrm{~K}$ in the 2017/18 budget. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 640,000 | 640,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 0 | 628,000 |  |  |

## 2016/17 Budgeted Service Savings Mandates Progress

| ENTERPRISE |  |  | Saving included in 2016/17 Budget £'s | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 2 £s | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 6 £'s | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 9 £'s | Value of Saving achieved at Outturn £'s | Delayed savings£'s | Savings not achievable £'s |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Proposals 2016/17 | Mandate No. | Savings Mandate Narrative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Assessment of progress | Progress Indicator |
| Leisure Services Income Generation (lan Saunders) | B1 | Income generation/cost savings within the service. | 120,000 | 120,000 | 120,000 | 120,000 | 120,000 | - | - | On track and expected to be fully met |  |
| Optimisation \& better commercialism of Assets within Tourism, Leisure and Culture (lan Saunders) |  | Income Generation Leisure | 25,000 | - | - | - | - |  | 25,000 | Won't be achieved this financial year |  |
| Planning Services- Income Generation (Mark Hand) | B9 | Reduce the net cost of planning services with the increase of income from planning applications received. | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | - | - | 40,000 | - | Planning has seen a decline in income in recent months, it may struggle to achieve full saving. |  |
| Extension Shared Lodgings Housing Scheme (lan Bakewell) | B10 | Increase the Shared Housing Scheme within Monmouthshire. | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | - | - | On track and expected to be fully met |  |
| OSecond Phase Review of Qubsidies to $3^{\text {rd }}$ Sector Qwill Mclean) | B12 | Consolidation and reduction of grants to 3rd sector providers. | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | - | - | On track and expected to be fully met |  |
| $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 310,000 | 285,000 | 285,000 | 245,000 | 245,000 | 40,000 | 25,000 |  |  |


| RESOURCES |  |  | Saving included in 2016/17 Budget £'s | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 2 £s | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 6 £'s | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 9 £'s | Value of Saving achieved at Outturn £'s | Delayed savings£'s | Savings not achievable£'s | Assessment of progress | Progress Indicator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Proposals 2016/17 | Mandate No. | Savings <br> Mandate <br> Narrative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sustainable Energy Initiatives (Ben Winstanley) | A5 | Investing in biomass boilers, solar farms and reduction in Carbon Commitment. | 34,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34,000 | Unachievable |  |
| Rationalise Business Support (Tracy Harry) | B2 | Review the business support functions across the whole Authority to identify savings. | 50,000 | 28,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | - | - | On track and expected to be fully met |  |
| Training Services Consolidation (Peter Davies) | B3 | Consolidation of the Authorities existing training functions. | 50,000 | - | - | - | - | - | 50,000 | Unachievable |  |
| Community Asset Transfer/ Income generation <br> (Peter Davies / Deb Hill-Howells) $\square$ <br> טه | B5 | Community Asset Transfer of two properties | $60,000$ | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | 15,000 | ${ }^{-}$ | £60k of £160 relates to Estates of which £45k has been found. £15k shortfall is due to delayed implementation on Melville theatre and ongoing discussion with Town Council over Drill Hall. |  |
|  |  | Optimisation of Assets - PD | 75,000 | - | - | - | - |  | 75,000 | Unachievable |  |
| Flexible Employment Options (Peter Davies) | B16 | Market to all staff the Authority's flexible benefits and employment packages. | 50,000 | 50,000 | - | - | - | - | 50,000 | Unachievable |  |
| Business rates evaluation <br> - Appeals <br> (Ruth Donovan) | B17 | Rate refunds following Appeals by Cooke \& Arkwright | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | 140,000 | - | 140,000 |  | Appeals lodger with Valuation office, backlog of appeals resulted from staff being transferred to new list creation. It is anticipated our appeals will be heard during 201718 |  |
| Strategic Property Review (Ben Winstanley - Deb HillHowells) | B18 | Reduction in Corporate Building Maintenance, Purchase Card rebates , Facilty Management Restructure and reductions in Transport Costs and Supplies and Services costs | 60,000 | 30,000 | 39,000 | 0 | 0 | 60,000 |  | Alternative delivery plan has not been possible due to pressures within the service |  |


| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Proposals 2016/17 | Mandate No. | Savings <br> Mandate <br> Narrative | Saving included in 2016/17 Budget £'s | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 2 £s | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 6 £'s | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 9 £'s | Value of Saving achieved at Outturn £'s | Delayed savings <br> £'s | Savings not achievable £'s | Assessment of progress | Progress Indicator |
| Discretionary Fees and Income (Joy Robson) | B23 | Increased Discretionary Fees \& Charges | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | - | - | Spread across authority, assume it has been achieved. |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 544,000 | 318,000 | 299,000 | 260,000 | 120,000 | 215,000 | 209,000 |  |  |

2016/17 Budgeted Service Savings Mandates Progress

| CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S UNIT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Proposals 2016/17 | Mandate No. | Savings <br> Mandate <br> Narrative | Saving <br> included in <br> $2016 / 17$ <br> Budget <br> $£^{\prime}$ 's | $\qquad$ | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 6 £'s | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 9 £'s | Value of Saving achieved at Outturn £'s | Delayed savings $£^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ | Savings not achievable £'s | Assessment of progress | Progress Indicator |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Garden Waste (Pachel Jowitt) $\Theta$ |  | Increase in charges for Garden Waste collection service. | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  | On track |  |
| Fome to School Transport (R Hoggins / Richard Cope) | A14 | Continuation of 2014-15 mandate. Fundamental policy review to nearest school, and more zealous application of free school travel criteria. | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 |  |  | This saving is forecast to be achieved but through the reduction in contract costs for home to school transport rather than the policy review initially included in the original mandate. There is no appetite for the nearest school policy to be reviewed at this moment in time but it is still being looked into. |  |
| Community Hubs (Will McClean ) | A28 | It's about delivering services in a different way and aligning them with the Whole Place philosophy. This will introduce major changes to how the library and one stop shop services are delivered. We will create a hub in each town where face to face services will be delivered. The contact centre will sustain a reliable and informed first point of contact for people contacting us other than face to face. | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 |  |  | Achievable through alternative Delivery Plan |  |


| CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S UN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Proposals 2016/17 | Mandate No. | Savings <br> Mandate <br> Narrative |  <br> Saving <br> included in <br> $2016 / 17$ <br> Budget <br> £'s | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 2 £s | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 6 £'s | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 9 £'s | Value of Saving achieved at Outturn £'s | Delayed savings £'s | Savings not achievable $\qquad$ | Assessment of progress | Progress Indicator |
| Community Hubs ( Rachel Jowitt) | A28 | It's about delivering services in a different way and aligning them with the Whole Place philosophy. This will introduce major changes to how the library and one stop shop services are delivered. We will create a hub in each town where face to face services will be delivered. The contact centre will sustain a reliable and informed first point of contact for people contacting us other than face to face. | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 |  |  | 25,000 |  | Achievable through alternative Delivery Plan. Contact Centre experiencing overspend exceeding mandate target |  |
| O2gal Services Rob Trantor) (1) | B7 | Income generation by providing Legal Services to external organisations. | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | - |  | Currently staff resource is totally used up undertaking internal legal work so there is no spare capacity to generate income from outside of the organisation. This will not affect outturn in 1617 as it has been offset by a grant windfall in Land Charges. |  |
| Promoting Business Waste (Rachel Jowitt) | B8 | Introduction of a new policy to charge for trade waste, and better control over the use of household waste recycling centres. | 80,000 | 80,000 | 80,000 | 80,000 | 80,000 |  |  | On Track |  |
| Leadership Team Structure Review (Paul Matthews) | B11 | Re-alignment of Senior Key Posts and Roles. | 315,000 | 272,300 | 272,300 | 272,300 | 272,300 |  | 42,700 | Waiting for update on the achievability, alternative delivery option |  |
| Highways Infrastructure Income Generation (Roger Hoggins) | B13 | Income generation from highway advertisements across Monmouthshire (£50k) | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 |  |  | Planning approval delays means $£ 25,000$ relating to advertising incomevwill be delayed. Shortfall will be managed within service budget. |  |
| Grounds - Funding Review (Rachel Jowitt) | B14 |  | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 |  |  | on track |  |


| 2016/17 Budgeted Service Savings | dates Pro | ress |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S UNIT |  |  | Saving included in 2016/17 Budget £'s | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 2 £s | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 6 £'s | Value of Saving Forecast at Month 9 £'s | $\qquad$ | Delayed savings£'s | Savings not achievable £'s | Assessment of progress | Progress Indicator |
| Budget Proposals 2016/17 | Mandate No. | Savings <br> Mandate <br> Narrative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Highways Maintenance (Roger Hoggins) | B15 | Reducing the budgets within the highways section. | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 |  |  | on track |  |
| Property Services and Facilities Management Review (Rob O'Dwyer) | B19 | Reduction in corporate building maintenance budgets. Purchase rebates from the use of procurement cards. (£15k), Facility Management restructure (£35k) | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 |  |  | The section is forecast to achieve the full mandate saving, shortfall from purchase rebates will be covered through general expenditure efficiencies. |  |
| Town and Community Councils (Roger Hoggins) $\square$ 0 Q | B21 | Restructuring of Services in collaboration with Town / Community Councils PCs | 110,000 | 90,000 | 90,000 | 90,000 | $90,000$ | 20,000 |  | Public conveniences are forecast to achieve $£ 90,000$ of the £110,000k mandate saving due to delayed implementation of which £80k is a contribution from the Town Council. |  |
|  |  |  | 83,500 | 83,500 | 83,500 | 83,500 | 83,500 |  |  | On Track |  |
|  |  | Waste | 71,500 | 71,500 | 71,500 | 71,500 | 71,500 |  |  | On Track |  |
| Town and Community Councils (Roger Hoggins) | B21 | Restructuring of Services in collaboration with Town / <br> Community Councils (Shortfalls) <br> Museums (£0 out of £20k) Tourism ( $£ 5,000$ out of $£ 25 \mathrm{k}$ ) Community Hubs ( $£ 70,000$ out of $£ 90 \mathrm{~K}$ )) | 135,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 95,000 | 95,000 | 40,000 |  | No contribution from Town council for museums. Only £5k received from Chepstow TC for TIC. £90k achieved from Hubs, increased savings of £20k being achieved through alternative delivery model for hubs. So High Risk on Museums, Low Risk on Tourism and Community Hubs |  |
| Collaboration and realigning structures in operations (Roger Hoggins) | B22 |  | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 |  |  | £70k of total saving related to Highways efficiencies from restructure, delay in implementation has meant staff savings have been delayed as well. Should not impact on outturn position as shortfall will be covered by managed efficiencies in expenditure. |  |

## Appendix 2 - Capital Slippage Analysis

| SELECT | Budget Holder | SCHEME <br> TITLE | Year End Slippage Request | Amount Proposed for endorsement | Amount endorsed but proposed to be added back expenditure year is certain | Amounts not proposed for endorsement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CYP | Simon Kneafsey | New Monmouth Comp -21c Schools | -9,281,832 | -9,281,832 |  |  |
| CYP | Simon Kneafsey | New Caldicot School 21c Schools | $-4,627,357$ | -4,627,357 |  |  |
| CYP | Simon Kneafsey | Welsh Medium Secondary (Joint Project) | -1,000,000 | -1,000,000 |  |  |
| CYP | Rob O'Dwyer | Chepstow School Removal \& Replacement of Asbestos Cladding Panels | -15,000 | -15,000 |  |  |
| CYP | Rob O'Dwyer | Usk Primary: Remodel Entrance, Office \& Shower | -26,469 | -26,469 |  |  |
| CYP | Sian Hayward | Schools ICT Outline Business Case | -351,233 | -351,233 |  |  |
| SCOMM | Rob O'Dwyer | Generic - Asbestos removal | -27,281 | 0 |  | -27,281 |
| SCOMM | Rob O'Dwyer | Generic - Radon response | -7,610 | 0 |  | -7,610 |
| SCOMM | Rob O'Dwyer | Aber Castle - Refurb to prolong \& cons ancient walls | -22,492 | -22,492 |  | 0 |
| SCOMM | Rob O'Dwyer | Monitor \& update fire \& intruder alarms | -3,910 | -3,910 |  |  |
| SCOMM | Rob O'Dwyer | Generic - Fire Safety remedial works to ensure compliance with 2005 regulatory reform | -5,391 | 0 |  | -5,391 |
| SCOMM | Rob O'Dwyer | Abergavenny LC Replace CHP Plant | -21,240 | -21,240 |  |  |
| SCOMM | Rob O'Dwyer | Hilston Park: Internal Areas | -7,500 | 0 |  | -7,500 |
| SCOMM | Rob O'Dwyer | Chepstow Museum: <br> Repair External Lime Render | -12,584 | -12,584 |  |  |
| SCOMM | Rob O'Dwyer | Generic - Rectification after Fixed Wire Testing | -6,756 | 0 |  | -6,756 |
| SCOMM | Rob O'Dwyer | Shire Hall - <br> Emergency Structural Investigation and repairs to staircase | -7,500 | -7,500 |  |  |
| SCOMM | Deb Hill <br> -Howells | Community Hubs | -51,122 | 0 | -51,122 |  |
| SCOMM | Dan Davies | Caldicot Castle Kitchen | $\begin{array}{r} -10,071 \\ \text { ge } 59 \end{array}$ | 0 |  | -10,071 |



| SCOMM | Mike Moran | S106 Gilwern Fitness Equip (Ty Mawr) | -7,065 | 0 | -7,065 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCOMM | Mike Moran | S106 Gilwern Petanque Terrain (Ty Mawr) | $-3,960$ | 0 | $-3,960$ |  |
| SCOMM | Mike Moran | S106 Heaven Scent Garden (Ty Mawr) | -945 | 0 | -945 |  |
| SCOMM | Mike Moran | S106 Clydach Playing Field Barrier (Ty Mawr) | -70 | -70 |  |  |
| SCOMM | Mike Moran | S106 Gilwern Bowling Green (Ty Mawr) | -496 | -496 |  |  |
| SCOMM | Mike Moran | S106 Gilwern Playing Field Improvements (Ty Mawr) | -10,190 | -10,190 |  |  |
| SCOMM | Mike Moran | S106 Incredible Edible Project (Ty Mawr) | -1,215 | -1,215 |  |  |
| SCOMM | Mike Moran | S106 - Upgrading play area Caldicot Castle | -8,935 | -8,935 |  |  |
| SCOMM | Mike Moran | Rogiet Playing Field Car Park and Magor GRIP study | -40,084 | -40,084 |  |  |
| SCOMM | Mike Moran | S106 Gilwern Towpath Upgrade (Cae Meldon) | -18,000 | -18,000 |  |  |
| SCOMM | Mike Moran | S106 Gilwern Scooter Park (Cae Meldon) | -21,555 | -21,555 |  |  |
| SCOMM | Mike Moran | S106 Gilwern Comm Centre Heating (Cae Meldon) | -11,475 | -11,475 |  |  |
| SCOMM | Mike Moran | S106 Gilwern OEC (Cae Meldon) | -56,802 | -56,802 |  |  |
| SCOMM | Mike Moran | New Playing Pitches (Clydach Juniors Cae Meldon) | -53,000 | -53,000 |  |  |
| SCOMM | Mike Moran | S106 Llanelly Hill Welfare Car Park (Cae Meldon) | -22,441 | -22,441 |  |  |
| SCOMM | Mike Moran | Cae Meldon S106 Off Site Recreation | -23,020 | -23,020 |  |  |
| E\&D | Rob O'Dwyer | Replacement Cattle Market | -183,357 | -183,357 |  |  |
| E\&D | Amy Longford | Caerwent House, Major Repairs | -50,800 | -50,800 |  |  |
| E\&D | Ben | Solar Farm - Oak | -505,740 | -505,740 |  |  |
| E\&D | Winstanley Deb Hill Howells | Grove <br> Sc106 Woodstock Way Linkage Scheme | -226,504 | -226,504 |  |  |
|  |  |  | -17,730,290 | -17,317,110 | -214,712 | -198,468 |

## Economy and Development Select Committee

## Action List

$6^{\text {th }}$ April 2017

| Minute Item: | Subject | Officer | Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. | Minutes - 9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ February 2017 | Hazel llett | Make available to the Select Committee the return on investment report. |
| 4. | Broadband Provision in Monmouthshire | Cath Fallon <br> County Councillor S. Jones | Members to receive a map of Monmouthshire identifying areas within the County, at a premises level, that are receiving a satisfactory broadband provision. <br> The Chair will write on behalf of the Select Committee, capturing the issues discussed today and send to the Minister with a request that the Minister attends a future meeting of the Select Committee to answer questions regarding this matter. |


| 6. | Business Rate Re-valuations | Cath Fallon / Hazel Ilett <br> Cath Fallon / Hazel llett | A Member's briefing from the Valuation Office Agency (VOA) regarding rate revaluations should be considered. <br> The views outlined would be forwarded to the Welsh Government expressing the concerns raised in respect of business rate increases for Monmouthshire's businesses. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. | Y Prentis | Cath Fallon / Hazel Ilett | The Economy Committee at the Welsh Assembly has an ongoing inquiry regarding apprenticeships. Monmouthshire County Council to put forward its view with regard to this matter with a view to helping to shape national policy. |
| 8. | Economy and Development Select Committee Forward Work Programme | Hazel Ilett | The work programme to be amended to include the organisers of the velothon attending a future meeting of the Select Committee. |


| Economy Select Committee |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meeting Date | Subject | Purpose of Scrutiny | Responsibility | Type of Scrutiny |
| Pre-Election Period ~ Friday $17^{\text {th }}$ March |  |  |  |  |
| $13^{\text {th }}$ June 2017 | Overview of Enterprise | To provide an overview of the work and the direction of the 'Enterprise Directorate'. | Cath Fallon Ian Saunders | Policy Development |
|  | Inspire to Work Programme | To report progress on the programme for scrutiny to monitor on an on-going basis. | Hannah Jones Cath Fallon | Performance Monitoring |
|  | Budget Monitoring | To scrutinise the outturn budget monitoring position for revenue and capital budgets. | Mark Howcroft | Budget Monitoring |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { J } \\ & \mathbf{d}_{4}^{4} 3^{\text {th }} \text { July } 2017 \\ & \mathbb{D} \\ & \text { S } \\ & G \end{aligned}$ | ICT in Schools programme | To present the progress of the programme to date and to discuss the wider aspects of digitisation in education. | Sian Hayward | Performance Monitoring |
|  | * TBC * <br> Velethon 2016 | To review the return on investment of the Velethon held in the county. | Nigel Russel Event Director, Velethon Wales | Post-event scrutiny |

## Potential Future Work Programme items:

x Chief Officer Enterprise Annual Report
x I-county ~ summer 2017
$x$ City Deal $\sim$ regular updates
$\times$ Asset management
$x$ County Farms

## Council and Cabinet Business - Forward Plan

Monmouthshire County Council is required to publish a Forward Plan of all key decisions to be taken in the following four months in advance and to update quarterly. The Council has decided to extend the plan to twelve months in advance, and to update it on a monthly basis.

Council and Cabinet agendas will only consider decisions that have been placed on the planner by the beginning of the preceding month, unless the item can be demonstrated to be urgent business

| Subject | Purpose | Consultees | Author |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| (1) |  |  |  |
| Welsh Church Fund Working Group | The purpose of this report is to make recommendations to Cabinet on the Schedule of Applications 2016/17 meeting 5 held on the $19^{\text {th }}$ January 2017. |  | Dave Jarrett |
| Outcomes of the Recycling Review. | Cabinet to agree the Final Business Case determining the outcomes of the Recycling Review. |  | Rachel Jowitt |
| Safeguarding Progress Report |  |  | Teresa Norris |
| Effectiveness of Council Services: Quarter 3 Progress |  |  | Matt Gatehouse |
| Cemeteries - amendments to charging policy |  |  | Deb Hill Howells |
| The Knoll, Abergavenny Section 106 funding |  |  | Mike Moran |


| Subject | Purpose | Consultees | Author |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADM - Business Case |  |  | Tracey Thomas |
| EAS Business Case |  |  | Will Mclean |
| Community Governance |  |  | Will Mclean |
| 2017/18 Education and Welsh Church Trust Funds Investment and Fund | The purpose of this report is to present to Cabinet for approval the 2017/18 Investment and Fund strategy for Trust Funds for which the Authority acts as sole or custodian trustee for adoption and to approve the 2017/18 grant allocation to Local Authority beneficiaries of the Welsh Church Fund. |  | Dave Jarrett |
| 8th MARCH 2017 - INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION |  |  |  |
| O |  |  |  |
| Poivate sector housing efforcement |  |  | Huw Owen |
| $18 \mathrm{PO} \times 2$ : Fairfield Car Park and Abergavenny Skate Park |  |  | Andrew Mason |
| Homeless Reserve Fund |  |  | Ian Bakewell |
| Fostering Fees Review |  |  | Claire Marchant |
| Accounts Payable Strategy - Further automation of the procedure to process payment |  |  | Lisa Widenham |
| Appropriation of the land at Rockfield Farm, Undy | From County farms use to planning use |  | Gareth King |
| Cemeteries - amendments to charging policy |  |  | Deb Hill Howells |
| Direct Care Leadership Restructure |  |  | Colin Richings |
| Transport Policy |  |  | Clare Morgan |
| Disposal of land on Garden City Way for Affordable |  |  | Ben Winstanley |


| Subject | Purpose | Consultees | Author |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Housing |  |  |  |
| Cae Maldon Bus Routes |  |  | Christian Schmidt |
| Monmouthshire Museums Centralisation of Staffing Structure |  |  | Cath Fallon |
| $9^{\text {TH }}$ MARCH 2017 - COUNCIL |  |  |  |
| Council Tax Resolution 2017/18 and Revenue and Capital Budgets 2017/18 | To set budget and Council tax for 2017/18 |  | Joy Robson |
| Treasury Management Strategy 2017/18 | To accept the annual Treasury Management Strategy |  | Joy Robson |
| Asset Investment Strategy |  |  | Peter Davies |
| Outcome of Recycling Regview | To agree the Final Business Case determining the outcomes of the Recycling Review. |  | Rachel Jowitt |
| Papocurement Strategy for雨 Cantre, Transfer Stations af | For Council to approve the procurement strategy and affordability envelope for the procurement of a new contract running from 2018-2030 (7 years plus 5 years extension possibility). |  | Rachel Jowitt |
| Approval of Car Park Capital Budget in 2017/18 |  |  | Roger Hoggins |
| $20^{\text {TH }}$ MARCH 2017 - COUNCIL |  |  |  |
| ADM Business Case |  |  | Tracey Thomas |
| Pay Policy |  |  | Tracey Harry/Sally Thomas |
| Well-being Assessments for the county and Objective setting for the Council | i) Well-being of Future Generations Assessment (author Matthew Gatehouse) <br> ii) Population Needs Assessment (authors Matthew Gatehouse/Phil Diamond) <br> iii) Council's Well-being Objectives and Plan (author Matthew Gatehouse/Richard Jones) <br> iv) Biodiversity and Ecosystem Resilience Forward Plan (author Matthew Lewis) |  | Matt Gatehouse |
| Safeguarding Progress Report |  |  | Teresa Norris |


| Subject | Purpose | Consultees | Author |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Position Statement report re: Social Services |  |  | Geoff Burrows |
| Council Diary |  |  | Nicola Perry |
| Chief Office CYP <br> Appointment |  |  | Tracey Harry |
| WAO Kerbcraft |  |  | Clare Marchant |
| Community Governance |  |  | W. McLean |
| 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ MARCH 2017 - INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION |  |  |  |
| Contracts Manager - Adult and Children's Commissioning |  |  | Ceri York |
| Proposed 40 Mph Speed Limit Portal Road And Link Road Monmouth |  |  | Paul Keeble |
| Fog Law enforcement \%licy - Monmouthshire alternative to prosecution policy (mapp) |  |  | David H Jones |
| To make Permanent the current temporary post of the Carers Services Development Manager |  |  | Kim Sparrey |
| Staffing Restructure: Development Management Team |  |  | Mark Hand |
| Staffing Restructure: Planning Policy Team |  |  | Mark Hand |
| Monmouthshire Lettings Service |  |  | Steve Griffiths |
| Permanent appointment of Temporary Admin Support post (RBC13A). |  |  | Nigel George |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ APRIL 2017 - CABINET |  |  |  |


| Subject |  | Purpose | Consultees |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Subject | Purpose | Consultees | Author |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed 20 MPH Speed Limit, A472 Usk | Cllr B Jones |  | Paul Keeble |
| Community Hubs Restructure | Cllr RJB Greenland |  | Deb Hill Howells |
| Monmouthshire Local Development Plan Draft Sustainable Tourism Accommodation Supplementary Planning Guidance | Cllr B Greenland |  | Martin Davies |
| Monmouthshire Local <br> Development Plan Rural <br> Conversions To A <br> Residential Or Tourism Use <br> (Fblicies H4 \& T2) <br> ※lpplementary Planning <br> GRidance | Cllr B Greenland |  | Martin Davies |
| 下-f ${ }^{\text {TH }}$ MAY 2016 - ANNUAL MEETING |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $18^{\text {TH }}$ MAY 2017 - DEFERRED BUSINESS COUNCIL |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $24^{\text {TH }}$ MAY 2017 - INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION |  |  |  |
| Welfare Rights Review |  |  | Tyrone Stokes |
| Event Opportunities Summer 2017 |  |  | Dan Davies |
| A40/A466 Wyebridge, Monmouth - Proposed Junction Improvement |  |  | Paul Keeble |
| Proposed acquisition of land Magor |  |  | Deb Hill Howells |
| $7{ }^{\text {TH }}$ JUNE 2017 - CABINET |  |  |  |


| Subject | Purpose | Consultees | Author |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To approve the Corporate Safeguarding Policy |  |  | Teresa Norris / Claire Marchant |
| Anti Fraud, Bribery \& Corruption Policy Statement - REVISED AND UPDATED |  |  | Andrew Wathan |
| Welsh Language Progress Report. |  |  | Alan Burkitt |
| Highway Grant and Section 106 budgets |  |  | Paul Keeble |
| Welsh Church Fund Working Group <br> 0 | The purpose of this report is to make recommendations to Cabinet on the Schedule of Applications for the Welsh Church Fund Working Group meeting 5 of the 2016/17 financial year held on the $20^{\text {th }}$ March 2017. |  | Dave Jarrett |
| evenue \& Capital <br> Mgnitoring 2016/17 Outturn <br> Fearecast Statement | To provide Members with information on the outturn position of the Authority for the 2016/17 financial year |  | Mark Howcroft |
| CYP Support Services ReStructure | To propose a restructure within CYP support services to achieve saving from the Medium Term Financial Plan |  | Nikki Wellington / <br> Sharon Randall Smith |
| $14^{\text {TH }}$ JUNE 2017 - INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION |  |  |  |
| Sale of Freehold of Land at Plot 9a Wonastow Rd, Monmouth | The sale of the Freehold has been agreed subject to approval to Mandarin Stone who currently lease the area on a long lease from MCC. |  | Nicola Howells |
| Installation of charging points for electric cars in MCC public car parks | To seek approval for the installation of charging points for electric cars in MCC car parks in the county. |  | Roger Hoggins |
| Release of restrictive covenant at Former Abergavenny Magistrates |  |  | Nicholas Keyse |



| Subject |  | Consultees |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Welsh Church Fund <br> Working Group | The purpose of this report is to make <br> recommendations to Cabinet on the <br> Schedule of Applications 2017/18, meeting <br> 1 held on the 29 |  | Author |


| Subject | Purpose | Consultees | Author |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018/19 and indicative capital budgets for the 3 years 2019/20 to 2021/22 |  |  |
| Review of Fees and Charges | To review all fees and charges made for services across the Council and identify proposals for increasing them in 2018/19 |  | Joy Robson |
| Welsh Church Fund Working Group | The purpose of this report is to make recommendations to Cabinet on the Schedule of Applications 2017/18, meeting 3 held on the $21^{\text {st }}$ September 2017. |  | Dave Jarrett |
| $8^{\text {TH }}$ NOVEMBER 2017 - INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 9TH NOVEMBER 2017 - COUNCIL |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| (\%)ND NOVEMBER 2017- INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 6\% DECEMBER 2017 - CABINET |  |  |  |
| Council Tax base 2018/19 and associated matters | To agree the Council Tax Base figure for submission to the Welsh Government, together with the collection rate to be applied for 2018/19 and to make other necessary related statutory decisions. |  | Sue Deacy/Wendy Woods |
| Welsh Church Fund Working Group | The purpose of this report is to make recommendations to Cabinet on the Schedule of Applications 2017/18, meeting 4 held on the $9^{\text {th }}$ November 2017 |  | Dave Jarrett |
| $13^{\text {TH }}$ DECEMBER 2017 - INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION |  |  |  |
| Local Government (Wales) Act 1994 The Local Authorities (Precepts)(Wales)Regulatio ns 1995 | To see approval of the proposals for consultation purposes regarding payments to precepting Authorities during 2018/19 financial year as required by statute |  | Joy Robson |
| $14^{\text {TH }}$ DECEMBER 2017 - COUNCIL |  |  |  |


| Subject |  | Consultees | Aurpose |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Subject | Purpose | Consultees | Author |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7{ }^{\text {TH }}$ MARCH 2018 - CABINET |  |  |  |
| 2018/19 Education and Welsh Church Trust Funds Investment and Fund Strategies | The purpose of this report is to present to Cabinet for approval the 2018/19 Investment and Fund Strategy for Trust Funds for which the Authority acts as sole or custodian trustee for adoption and to approve the 2017/18 grant allocation to Local Authority beneficiaries of the Welsh Church Fund. |  | Dave Jarrett |
| $14{ }^{\text {TH }}$ MARCH 2018 - INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $28{ }^{\text {TH }}$ MARCH 2018 - INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION |  |  |  |
| $11^{\text {TH }}$ APRIL 2018 - CABINET |  |  |  |
| Welsh Church Fund Working Group (1) <br> $\infty$ | The purpose of this report is to make recommendations to Cabinet on the Schedule of Applications 2017/18, meeting 6 held on the $22^{\text {nd }}$ February 2018 |  | Dave Jarrett |
| $18^{\text {TH }}$ APRIL 2018 - INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION |  |  |  |
| $19^{\text {TH }}$ APRIL 2018 - COUNCIL |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 9 ${ }^{\text {TH }}$ MAY 2018 - INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER DECISION |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

